arXiv:1207.3909v1 [math.QA] 17 Jul 2012

ZHU'S ALGEBRA, C_2-ALGEBRA AND C_2-COFINITENESS OF PARAFERMION VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

TOMOYUKI ARAKAWA, CHING HUNG LAM, AND HIROMICHI YAMADA

ABSTRACT. We study Zhu's algebra, C_2-algebra and C_2-cofiniteness of parafermion vertex operator algebras. We first give a detailed study of Zhu's algebra and C_2-algebra of parafermion vertex operator algebras associated with the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\widehat{sl}_2$. We show that they have the same dimension and Zhu's algebra is semisimple. The classification of irreducible modules is also established. Finally, we prove that the parafermion vertex operator algebras for any finite dimensional simple Lie algebras are C_2-cofinite.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated with $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ be the vacuum Weyl module for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with level k, where k is a positive integer and $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ its simple quotient. The vertex operator algebra $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ contains a Heisenberg vertex operator algebra corresponding to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. The commutant $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra in $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ is called a parafermion vertex operator algebra.

Recently, the structure of the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ was studied by C. Dong and Q. Wang [6, 7]. In particular, they noticed the importance of the special case $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$. The parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(sl_2,k)$ is known to be a W-algebra. It was also shown in [4, 5] that $K(sl_2,k)$ is isomorphic to the simple quotient of the W-algebra $W(2,3,4,5)$ of [3, 15]. In fact, it is expected that the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(sl_2,k)$ is isomorphic to a W-algebra $W_\ell(sl_k, f_{\mathrm{prin}})$ of [2, 10] with $\ell = \frac{k+2}{k+1} - k$ and f_{prin} a principal nilpotent element of sl_k.

For a vertex operator algebra V, Zhu [22] introduced two intrinsic associative algebras, one is Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ and the other is Zhu's C_2-algebra $V/C_2(V)$. We denote $V/C_2(V)$ by R_V for simplicity of notation. In this article, we study Zhu's algebra, Zhu's C_2-algebra and C_2-cofiniteness of parafermion vertex operator algebras. There are two main parts. The first one is a detailed analysis of Zhu's algebra and C_2-algebra of parafermion vertex operator algebras $K(sl_2,k)$ associated with $\widehat{sl}_2$. The results of this paper concerning $\mathcal{W} = K(sl_2,k)$ can be summarized as follows (Theorems 7.3, 8.1, 8.2 and 9.1).

(1) Zhu's C_2-algebra $R_{\mathcal{W}}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ is of dimension $k(k+1)/2$.
(2) Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W})$ of $\mathcal{W}$ is semisimple and of dimension $k(k+1)/2$.
(3) $M^{i,j}$, $0 \le i \le k$, $0 \le j \le i-1$ constructed in [5] form a complete set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-modules.
(4) $\mathcal{W}$ is projective as a $\mathcal{W}$-module.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69; Secondary 17B67.
Key words and phrases. Vertex operator algebras, affine Lie algebras.

As to the importance of projectivity, see [21]. Two embeddings $R_{\mathcal{W}} \hookrightarrow R_{L(k,0)}$ and $A(\mathcal{W}) \hookrightarrow A(L(k,0))$ are also obtained, where $L(k,0) = L_{\widehat{sl}_2}(k,0)$.

In the second part, we discuss the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ for a general $\mathfrak{g}$. Using the C_2-cofiniteness of $K(sl_2,k)$ we show the following assertion (Theorem 10.5).

(5) $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ is C_2-cofinite for all finite dimensional simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and all positive integers k.

Now let us give a brief discussion of our method. Denote by $\mathcal{N} = N(sl_2,k)$ the commutant of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra in the vacuum Weyl module $V_{\widehat{sl}_2}(k,0)$. The vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{N}$ is not simple. It possesses a unique maximal ideal $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{W}$. Basic properties of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are established in [4, 5]. In fact, a set $\{W^2, W^3, W^4, W^5\}$ of strong generators, the commutation relation among the operators W^s_n, $s = 2,3,4,5$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, a null field $\mathbf{v}^0$ of weight 8 and a singular vector $\mathbf{u}^0$ of weight $k+1$ are obtained. Moreover, irreducible modules $M^{i,j}$ for $\mathcal{W}$ are constructed and the action of $o(W^s) = W^s_{s-1}$, $s = 2,3,4,5$ on the top level of $M^{i,j}$ is calculated.

The strong generators W^2, W^3, W^4, W^5 provide a spanning set for $\mathcal{N}$. The vectors of weight at most 7 in the spanning set are linearly independent. However, it is not the case for those vectors of weight greater than 7. There are two nontrivial linear relations among the vectors of weight 8 in the spanning set. The null field $\mathbf{v}^0$ gives one of the relations. The singular vector $\mathbf{u}^0$, on the other hand, is closely related to the integrability condition of the level k integrable highest weight module $L_{\widehat{sl}_2}(k,0)$ for $\widehat{sl}_2$.

Our main tool is a detailed analysis of the weight 8 null field $\mathbf{v}^0$ and the singular vector $\mathbf{u}^0$, together with their images under the operator W^3_1 in Zhu's C_2-algebra. Actually, we use $\mathbf{v}^0$, $W^3_1\mathbf{v}^0$ and $(W^3_1)^2\mathbf{v}^0$ to show an embedding $R_{\mathcal{N}} \hookrightarrow R_{V(k,0)}$ of Zhu's C_2-algebra $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ in Zhu's C_2-algebra $R_{V(k,0)}$ of $V(k,0) = V_{\widehat{sl}_2}(k,0)$. The singular vector $\mathbf{u}^0$ and $(W^3_1)^r\mathbf{u}^0$, $r = 1,2,3$ are necessary to determine the dimension of $R_{\mathcal{W}}$. The calculation of various elements in $\mathcal{N}$ or $V(k,0)$ is very difficult. On the other hand, the calculation in Zhu's C_2-algebra is relatively easy, since the algebra is commutative and associative. In fact, the operator W^3_1 induces a derivation on $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ so that we can express $(W^3_1)^r\mathbf{v}^0$, $r = 0,1,2$ and $(W^3_1)^r\mathbf{u}^0$, $r = 0,1,2,3$ explicitly as elements of $R_{V(k,0)}$ by using the spanning set modulo $C_2(\mathcal{N})$ and the embedding $R_{\mathcal{N}} \hookrightarrow R_{V(k,0)}$. This enable us to draw necessary information from these vectors.

In [6, 7], it was shown that the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ for a general $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by the vertex operator subalgebras $P_\alpha \cong K(sl_2,k_\alpha)$ corresponding to the positive roots α, where $k_\alpha \in \{k,2k,3k\}$. Furthermore, they proved the C_2-cofiniteness of $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ under the assumption that each P_α is rational and C_2-cofinite. In this paper we modify slightly their argument and show that $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ is C_2-cofinite by using only the C_2-cofiniteness of P_α's.

If $k \leq 4$, the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}$ is a well-known one. In fact, $\mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to an Ising model $\mathcal{L}(1/2,0)$, a 3-state Potts model $\mathcal{L}(4/5,0) \oplus \mathcal{L}(4/5,3)$ or an orbifold $V^+_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ of a rank one lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ with $\langle\beta,\beta\rangle = 6$ according as $k = 2$, 3 or 4 [5, Section 5]. Those vertex operator algebras are known to be rational and C_2-cofinite and their irreducible modules are classified. We note that $W^3 = W^4 = W^5 = 0$ if $k = 2$, $W^4 = W^5 = 0$ if $k = 3$, and $W^5 = 0$ if $k = 4$ in $\mathcal{W}$, that is, these W^s's are contained in

the maximal ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ and their images in $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I}$ is 0 for such a small k. Note also that $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{C}$ if $k = 1$. Thus we assume that $k \geq 5$ for the study of $\mathcal{W}$. In the case $k = 5, 6$, it is already known that $\mathcal{W}$ is rational and C_2-cofinite, and the irreducible modules for $\mathcal{W}$ are classified [5, Section 5].

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to preliminaries. In Section 3 we collect basic properties of Zhu's C_2-algebra. In Section 4 we study a set of generators of $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ and the action of W_1^3 on it. In Section 5 we discuss an embedding of $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ in $R_{V(k,0)}$ by using $(W_1^3)^r\mathbf{v}^0$ modulo $C_2(\mathcal{N})$, $r = 0, 1, 2$. Moreover, a differential operator corresponding to the action of W_1^3 is introduced. In Section 6 we calculate $(W_1^3)^r\mathbf{u}^0$ modulo $C_2(V(k, 0))$ explicitly, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and study the ideal of $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ generated by these elements. In Section 7 we show an embedding of $R_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $R_{L(k,0)}$ and determine its dimension. Here we use a basis of $L(k, 0)$ [8, 20]. In Section 8 we show an embedding of $A(\mathcal{W})$ in $A(L(k, 0))$ and determine its dimension. In particular, we have $\dim R_{\mathcal{W}} = \dim A(\mathcal{W}) = k(k + 1)/2$. Furthermore, we show that $A(\mathcal{W})$ is semisimple and classify the irreducible modules for $\mathcal{W}$. In Section 9 we discuss the projectivity of $\mathcal{W}$. Finally, in Section 10 we modify the argument of [7] and show that the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ is C_2-cofinite for all finite dimensional simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and all positive integers k.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we fix notation and collect basic properties of various objects for later use. Our notation for vertex operator algebras is standard [12, 17]. Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra. Then $V = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} V_{(n)}$ with $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $Y(v, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}$ is the vertex operator associated with $v \in V$. We also denote ω_{n+1} by $L(n)$. An element $v \in V_{(n)}$ is said to be homogeneous of weight n and we write $\operatorname{wt} v = n$. For a homogeneous v, we have $v_m V_{(n)} \subset V_{(n+\operatorname{wt} v-m-1)}$, that is, the operator v_m is of weight $\operatorname{wt} v - m - 1$.

We use the following identities for a vertex operator algebra V [17, (3.1.9), (3.1.12)].

$$[a_m, b_n] = \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (a_i b)_{m+n-i}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(a_m b)_n = \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} \big(a_{m-i}b_{n+i} - (-1)^m b_{m+n-i}a_i\big), \tag{2.2}$$

$$[L(-1), a_n] = (L(-1)a)_n = -na_{n-1} \tag{2.3}$$

for $a, b \in V$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a vertex operator algebra V, let $C_2(V) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a_{-2}b \,|\, a, b \in V\}$. Zhu's C_2-algebra is the quotient space $R_V = V/C_2(V)$ [22, Section 4.4]. The vertex operator algebra V is said to be C_2-cofinite if $\dim R_V < \infty$.

Define two binary operations

$$a * b = \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{\operatorname{wt} a}{i} a_{i-1}b, \qquad a \circ b = \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{\operatorname{wt} a}{i} a_{i-2}b \tag{2.4}$$

for homogeneous $a, b \in V$ and extend for arbitrary $a, b \in V$ by linearity. Let $O(V) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a \circ b \,|\, a, b \in V\}$, which is a two sided ideal with respect to the operation $*$. Let

$A(V) = V/O(V)$. Then $(A(V), *)$ is an associative algebra [22, Theorem 2.1.1] called Zhu's algebra of V.

A vertex operator algebra is said to be rational if every admissible module (that is, $\mathbb{N}$-graded weak module) is completely reducible.

From now through Section 9, we fix an integer $k \geq 5$. As to parafermion vertex operator algebras associated with $\widehat{sl}_2$, we tend to follow the notation in [4, 5]. In particular, $\{h, e, f\}$ is a standard Chevalley basis of sl_2 with $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$ for the bracket, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the normalized Killing form so that $\langle h, h \rangle = 2$, $\langle e, f \rangle = 1$, $\langle h, e \rangle = \langle h, f \rangle = \langle e, e \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle = 0$, and $\widehat{sl}_2 = sl_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}C$ is the corresponding affine Lie algebra. Moreover, the vacuum Weyl module

$$V(k, 0) = V_{\widehat{sl}_2}(k, 0) = \mathrm{Ind}_{sl_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}C}^{\widehat{sl}_2} \mathbb{C}$$

with level k is an induced $\widehat{sl}_2$-module such that $sl_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acts as 0 and C acts as k on the vacuum vector $\mathbf{1} = 1$. We denote by $a(n)$ the operator on $V(k, 0)$ corresponding to the action of $a \otimes t^n$. Then

$$[a(m), b(n)] = [a, b](m + n) + m\langle a, b \rangle \delta_{m+n,0} k \tag{2.5}$$

for $a, b \in sl_2$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also $a(n)\mathbf{1} = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. The vectors

$$h(-i_1) \cdots h(-i_p) e(-j_1) \cdots e(-j_q) f(-m_1) \cdots f(-m_r)\mathbf{1}, \tag{2.6}$$

$i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_p \geq 1$, $j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_q \geq 1$, $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_r \geq 1$ and $p, q, r \geq 0$ form a basis of $V(k, 0)$.

Let $a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n) z^{-n-1}$. Then $V(k, 0)$ is a vertex operator algebra such that $Y(a(-1)\mathbf{1}, z) = a(z)$ with central charge $3k/(k+2)$ ([13], [17, Section 6.2]). The conformal vector of $V(k, 0)$ is

$$\omega_{\mathrm{aff}} = \frac{1}{2(k+2)} \Big(- h(-2)\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2} h(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} + 2e(-1)f(-1)\mathbf{1} \Big).$$

The vector of (2.6) has weight $i_1 + \cdots + i_p + j_1 + \cdots + j_q + m_1 + \cdots + m_r$ and it is also an eigenvector for $h(0)$ with eigenvalue $2(q - r)$. The vertex operator algebra $V(k, 0)$ has a unique maximal ideal $\mathcal{J}$, which is generated by a single vector $e(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}$ [16]. The quotient space $L(k, 0) = L_{\widehat{sl}_2}(k, 0) = V(k, 0)/\mathcal{J}$ is the integrable highest weight module for $\widehat{sl}_2$ with level k. For simplicity of notation we use the same symbol as (2.6) to denote its image in $L(k, 0)$.

Let $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$ be the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra spanned by $h(-i_1) \cdots h(-i_p)\mathbf{1}$, $i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_p \geq 1$, $p \geq 0$ and

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ v \in V(k, 0) \,|\, h(n)v = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 0 \}$$

be the commutant of $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$ in $V(k, 0)$. The conformal vector of $\mathcal{N}$ is

$$W^2 = \frac{1}{2k(k+2)} \Big(- kh(-2)\mathbf{1} - h(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} + 2ke(-1)f(-1)\mathbf{1} \Big),$$

which is the difference of ω_{aff} and the conformal vector $(1/4k)h(-1)^2\mathbf{1}$ of $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$. The central charge of $\mathcal{N}$ is $2(k-1)/(k+2)$. Note that the weight of a homogeneous element of $\mathcal{N}$ coincides with its weight as an element of $V(k, 0)$.

The vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{N}$ is strongly generated by four vectors W^2, W^3, W^4, W^5 of weight $2, 3, 4, 5$, respectively. That is, $\mathcal{N}$ is spanned by the vectors

$$W^2_{-i_1} \cdots W^2_{-i_p} W^3_{-j_1} \cdots W^3_{-j_q} W^4_{-m_1} \cdots W^4_{-m_r} W^5_{-n_1} \cdots W^5_{-n_s} \mathbf{1} \tag{2.7}$$

with $i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_p \geq 1$, $j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_q \geq 1$, $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_r \geq 1$, $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_s \geq 1$ and $p, q, r, s \geq 0$ ([4, Theorem 3.1], [5, Lemma 2.4].) The vectors W^3, W^4 and W^5 are given explicitly in [5, Appendix A]. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} W^3 = {} & k^2 h(-3)\mathbf{1} + 3kh(-2)h(-1)\mathbf{1} + 2h(-1)^3\mathbf{1} - 6kh(-1)e(-1)f(-1)\mathbf{1} \\ & + 3k^2 e(-2)f(-1)\mathbf{1} - 3k^2 e(-1)f(-2)\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

The vector W^s is up to a scalar multiple, a unique Virasoro primary vector of weight s for $s = 3, 4, 5$. Thus $W^2_n W^s = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, $W^2_1 W^s = sW^s$ and $W^2_0 W^s = W^s_{-2}\mathbf{1}$. Moreover, $W^r_n W^s$, $3 \leq r \leq s \leq 5$, $n \geq 0$ is expressed as a linear combination of vectors of the form (2.7) in [5, Appendix B]. Hence the commutation relation $[W^r_m, W^s_n]$ follows from the formulas (2.1) and (2.2). The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{N} = \langle\theta\rangle$ is of order 2 with $\theta(W^s) = (-1)^s W^s$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ [5, Theorem 2.5].

The vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{N}$ has a unique maximal ideal $\mathcal{I}$, which is generated by a singular vector [4, Theorem 4.2]

$$\mathbf{u}^0 = f(0)^{k+1} e(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}. \tag{2.8}$$

It is known that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{J}$ [5, Lemma 3.1]. A parafermion vertex operator algebra

$$\mathcal{W} = K(sl_2, k) = \{v \in L(k, 0) \mid h(n)v = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 0\}$$

associated with $\widehat{sl}_2$ is the commutant of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$ in $L(k, 0)$. It can be identified with the quotient $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ by $\mathcal{I}$. The central charge of $\mathcal{W}$ is $2(k-1)/(k+2)$ and $\mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{W}_{(n)}$ with $\mathcal{W}_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{(1)} = 0$. For simplicity of notation we use the same symbols W^s, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ to denote their images in $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I}$.

An intermediate vertex operator algebra

$$V(k, 0)(0) = \{v \in V(k, 0) \mid h(0)v = 0\}$$

between $\mathcal{N}$ and $V(k, 0)$ was also considered in [4]. The set of vectors of the form (2.6) with $q = r$ is a basis of $V(k, 0)(0)$ and $V(k, 0)(0) = M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ [5, (2.5)]. Although our main concern is the parafermion vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I}$, we need $V(k, 0)(0)$ in our argument.

We should mention that the notation here is slightly different from that in [4, 5]. In fact, $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ are denoted by N_0, $K_0 = M^{0,0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$, respectively in [4, 5] (see [4, Theorems 3.1, 4.1 and 4.2]). The conformal vector W^2 of $\mathcal{W}$ is denoted by ω in [4, 5].

For a positive number ξ, the symbol $[\xi]$ denotes the largest integer which does not exceed ξ.

3. Basic properties of Zhu's C_2-algebra

In this section we discuss basic properties of Zhu's C_2-algebra

$$R_V = V/C_2(V)$$

of a vertex operator algebra V, which has a commutative Poisson algebra structure by the operations $a \cdot b = a_{-1}b \bmod C_2(V)$ and $\{a, b\} = a_0 b \bmod C_2(V)$ [22, Section 4.4]. The following properties are well-known.

Lemma 3.1. (1) $L(-1)V \subset C_2(V)$.
(2) $a_n C_2(V) \subset C_2(V)$ *for* $n \leq 0$, $a \in V$.
(3) $a_n V \subset C_2(V)$ *for* $n \leq 0$, $a \in C_2(V)$.
(4) $a_1 b \equiv b_1 a \pmod{C_2(V)}$ *for* $a, b \in V$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $a \in V$ *be such that* $a_0 V \subset C_2(V)$. *Then* $a_1 C_2(V) \subset C_2(V)$ *and* $a_1(b_{-1}c) \equiv (a_1 b)_{-1}c + b_{-1}(a_1 c)$ *mod* $C_2(V)$ *for* $b, c \in V$. *That is,* a_1 *induces a derivation of* R_V *with respect to the product* $a \cdot b$.

Proof. It follows from (2.1) that $a_1 b_{-2} c = (a_0 b)_{-1} c + (a_1 b)_{-2} c + b_{-2} a_1 c$. Moreover, $a_0 b \in C_2(V)$ by the assumption and so $(a_0 b)_{-1} c \in C_2(V)$ by Lemma 3.1. Hence $a_1 C_2(V) \subset C_2(V)$. Then the operator a_1 acts naturally on R_V by $a_1 \cdot (b + C_2(V)) = a_1 b + C_2(V)$. We can verify the latter assertion by a similar argument as above. □

The conformal vector ω is a typical example which satisfies the assumption of the above lemma, for $\omega_0 = L(-1)$.

We consider filtrations based on the weight subspaces $V_{(n)}$ of V. Let $F_p V = \bigoplus_{n \leq p} V_{(n)}$, where $V_{(n)} = 0$ if $n < 0$. Then $F_{p-1} V \subset F_p V$, $\cap_p F_p V = 0$ and $\cup_p F_p V = V$. That is, the filtration $\{F_p V\}$ is increasing, separated and exhaustive. Let $F_p A(V)$ be the image of $F_p V$ in $A(V) = V/O(V)$ [22, Theorem 2.1.1] and set

$$\operatorname{gr}_p A(V) = F_p A(V)/F_{p-1} A(V),$$

which is isomorphic to $(O(V) + F_p V)/(O(V) + F_{p-1} V)$. Let

$$\operatorname{gr} A(V) = \bigoplus_p \operatorname{gr}_p A(V).$$

Every element of $\operatorname{gr}_p A(V)$ can be written as $a + O(V) + F_{p-1} V$, $a \in V_{(p)}$. For $u \in a + O(V) + F_{p-1} V$ with $a \in V_{(p)}$ and $v \in b + O(V) + F_{q-1} V$ with $b \in V_{(q)}$, we have

$$u * v \in a_{-1} b + O(V) + F_{p+q-1} V \tag{3.1}$$

by (2.4). Moreover, [22, Lemma 2.1.3] implies that

$$u * v - v * u \in a_0 b + O(V) + F_{p+q-2} V. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, $u * v = v * u$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{p+q} A(V)$ since $\operatorname{wt} a_0 b = p + q - 1$. Thus $\operatorname{gr} A(V)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative Poisson algebra with respect to the product $u * v$ and the commutation $u * v - v * u$. We also have that $\operatorname{gr} A(V) \cong A(V)$ as vector spaces.

As to $R_V = V/C_2(V)$, note that the weight space decomposition $C_2(V) = \bigoplus_n C_2(V)_{(n)}$ holds, where $C_2(V)_{(n)} = C_2(V) \cap V_{(n)}$. This decomposition induces a natural $\mathbb{Z}$-grading

$$R_V = \bigoplus_p (R_V)_p \tag{3.3}$$

of R_V, where

$$(R_V)_p = V_{(p)}/C_2(V)_{(p)}.$$

For $u \in a + C_2(V)_{(p)}$ with $a \in V_{(p)}$ and $v \in b + C_2(V)_{(q)}$ with $b \in V_{(q)}$, we have

$$u_{-1} v \in a_{-1} b + C_2(V)_{(p+q)} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$u_0 v \in a_0 b + C_2(V)_{(p+q-1)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $a_{-1}b \in V_{(p+q)}$ and $a_0 b \in V_{(p+q-1)}$, we see that $R_V = \bigoplus_p (R_V)_p$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative Poisson algebra with respect to the operations $u \cdot v = u_{-1}v$ and $\{u, v\} = u_0 v$.

Proposition 3.3. *$C_2(V)_{(p)} \subset O(V) + F_{p-1}V$ for all p and the assignment*

$$a + C_2(V)_{(p)} \mapsto a + O(V) + F_{p-1}V$$

for $a \in V_{(p)}$ defines a surjective homomorphism $R_V \to \operatorname{gr} A(V)$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Poisson algebras.

Proof. The space $C_2(V)_{(p)}$ is spanned by the weight p elements of the form $a_{-2}b$ with homogeneous a, b. For such a, b, we have $\operatorname{wt} a_{i-2}b \le p-1$ if $i \ge 1$. Hence $a_{-2}b \equiv a \circ b \bmod F_{p-1}V$ by (2.4). Thus $C_2(V)_{(p)} \subset O(V) + F_{p-1}V$ and the assignment is well-defined. Now, (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5) imply that the map $R_V \to \operatorname{gr} A(V)$ defined by the assignment is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Poisson algebras. □

4. Zhu's C_2-algebra $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ of $\mathcal{N}$

Since $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I}$, we have $C_2(\mathcal{W}) = (C_2(\mathcal{N}) + \mathcal{I})/\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{W}/C_2(\mathcal{W}) \cong \mathcal{N}/(C_2(\mathcal{N}) + \mathcal{I})$. In this section we study $R_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N}/C_2(\mathcal{N})$, which is infinite dimensional. Recall that $\{W^2, W^3, W^4, W^5\}$ is a set of strong generators for the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{N}$. Thus $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ is generated by $\widetilde{W}^s = W^s + C_2(\mathcal{N})$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ as a commutative associative algebra, and it is a homomorphic image

$$\varphi : \mathbb{C}[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5] \to R_{\mathcal{N}}; \quad x_s \mapsto \widetilde{W}^s = W^s + C_2(\mathcal{N})$$

of a polynomial algebra with four variables x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 (φ is denoted by $\tilde{\rho}$ in [5].)

Lemma 4.1. *If v is a homogeneous element of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\operatorname{wt} v$ is odd and $\theta(v) = v$ or $\operatorname{wt} v$ is even and $\theta(v) = -v$, then $v \in C_2(\mathcal{N})$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is spanned by the vectors of the form (2.7) and since those vectors are eigenvectors for θ with eigenvalue ± 1, we may assume that v is of the form (2.7). Such a vector v is contained in $C_2(\mathcal{N})$ unless $i_1, \dots, i_p$, $j_1, \dots, j_q$, $m_1, \dots, m_r$, $n_1, \cdots, n_s$ are all 1. Thus it is sufficient to discuss the case $v = (W^2_{-1})^p (W^3_{-1})^q (W^4_{-1})^r (W^5_{-1})^s \mathbf{1}$. In this case $\operatorname{wt} v = 2p + 3q + 4r + 5s$ and $\theta(v) = (-1)^{q+s} v$. Hence $\theta(v) = v$ if and only if $\operatorname{wt} v$ is even. This proves the lemma. □

The vector $W^r_{2m} W^s$ is of weight $r + s - 2m - 1$ and furthermore it is an eigenvector for θ with eigenvalue $(-1)^{r+s}$. Hence $W^r_{2m} W^s \in C_2(\mathcal{N})$, $r, s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ by Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. *$W^r_0 \mathcal{N} \subset C_2(\mathcal{N})$, $r = 2, 3, 4, 5$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show $W^r_0 v \in C_2(\mathcal{N})$ for a vector v of the form (2.7). We have $a_0 b_n c = (a_0 b)_n c + b_n a_0 c$ by (2.1). Now, $W^r_0 W^s \in C_2(\mathcal{N})$ for $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $W^r_0 \mathbf{1} = 0$. Hence $W^r_0 v \in C_2(\mathcal{N})$ by Lemma 3.1, as required. □

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemmas 3.2 and 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. *For $r = 2, 3, 4, 5$, we have $W^r_1 C_2(\mathcal{N}) \subset C_2(\mathcal{N})$ and the action of W^r_1 on $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ defined by $W^r_1 \cdot (u + C_2(\mathcal{N})) = W^r_1 u + C_2(\mathcal{N})$ for $u \in \mathcal{N}$ is a derivation on the commutative associative algebra $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ generated by $\widetilde{W}^s$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$.*

Since W^2 is the conformal vector of $\mathcal{N}$, the assertions of the above two lemmas for $r = 2$ are general facts for a vertex operator algebra.

As to the singular vector $\mathbf{u}^0$ of (2.8), we have $\operatorname{wt}\mathbf{u}^0 = k+1$ and $\theta(\mathbf{u}^0) = (-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{u}^0$ [4, Theorem 4.2]. Hence $W^r_{2m}\mathbf{u}^0 \in C_2(\mathcal{N})$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r = 2,3,4,5$ by Lemma 4.1. Of course, $W^r_n\mathbf{u}^0 = 0$, $n \geq r$, for $\mathbf{u}^0$ is a singular vector of $\mathcal{N}$. Also $W^r_{2m}\mathbf{u}^0 \in C_2(\mathcal{N})$ if $m < 0$ by the definition of $C_2(\mathcal{N})$.

The action of W^3_1 will play an important role in our argument. We know the action of W^3_1 on $\widetilde{W}^s$ by [5, Appendix B].

Lemma 4.4. *The action of W^3_1 on $\widetilde{W}^s$, $s = 2,3,4,5$ is as follows.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^3_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}^2 &= 3\widetilde{W}^3,\\
W^3_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}^3 &= \frac{1}{16k+17}\big(288k^3(k-2)(k+2)^2(3k+4)(\widetilde{W}^2)^2 + 36k(2k+3)\widetilde{W}^4\big),\\
W^3_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}^4 &= \frac{1}{64k+107}\big(1248k^2(k-3)(k+2)(2k+1)(2k+3)\widetilde{W}^2\widetilde{W}^3\\
&\quad - 12k(3k+4)(16k+17)\widetilde{W}^5\big),\\
W^3_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}^5 &= \frac{240k^4(k+2)^3(2k+3)(3k+4)(202k-169)}{16k+17}(\widetilde{W}^2)^3\\
&\quad - 15k(2k+3)(41k+61)(\widetilde{W}^3)^2\\
&\quad + \frac{60k^2(k+2)(404k^2+1170k+835)}{16k+17}\widetilde{W}^2\widetilde{W}^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

The above lemma implies that $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ is generated by $(W^3_1)^r \cdot \widetilde{W}^2$, $r = 0,1,2,3$ as an associative algebra.

In [5, Appendix B], the vectors $W^r_n W^s$, $3 \leq r \leq s \leq 5$, $n \geq 0$ are expressed as linear combinations of the vectors of the form (2.7) with coefficients being rational functions of k. However, it is hard to calculate directly the action of W^3_1 on a vector of (2.7) by using the formulas (2.1) and (2.2), because it is very complicated. On the other hand the above argument implies that the action of W^3_1 can be manageable modulo $C_2(\mathcal{N})$, that is, in $R_{\mathcal{N}}$. We will pursue this in the subsequent section.

5. Embedding of $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ in $R_{V(k,0)}$

In this section we study $\mathcal{N}$ modulo $C_2(V(k,0))$. Since the set of vectors of the form (2.6) is a basis of $V(k,0)$, Zhu's C_2-algebra $R_{V(k,0)} = V(k,0)/C_2(V(k,0))$ of $V(k,0)$ is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]$ with three variables

$$
y_0 = \overline{h(-1)\mathbf{1}}, \quad y_1 = \overline{e(-1)\mathbf{1}}, \quad y_2 = \overline{f(-1)\mathbf{1}}, \tag{5.1}
$$

where $\overline{v} = v + C_2(V(k,0))$ is the image of $v \in V(k,0)$ in $R_{V(k,0)}$. Since $C_2(\mathcal{N}) \subset C_2(V(k,0))$, there is a natural homomorphism

$$
\psi : R_{\mathcal{N}} \to R_{V(k,0)}; \quad \widetilde{v} = v + C_2(\mathcal{N}) \mapsto \overline{v} = v + C_2(V(k,0))
$$

of commutative Poisson algebras. Let

$$
\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{N} + C_2(V(k,0))
$$

be its image and set $y = y_0$, $z = y_1y_2$. Then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{C}[y,z]$. In fact, $\mathcal{N} \subset V(k,0)(0)$ and the image of $V(k,0)(0)$ in $R_{V(k,0)}$ is $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$. More precisely, $\overline{W^s} = W^s + C_2(V(k,0)) \in R_{V(k,0)}$, $s = 2,3,4,5$ are as follows [5, Appendix A].

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{W^2} &= -\frac{1}{2k(k+2)}(y^2 - 2kz),\\
\overline{W^3} &= 2(y^3 - 3kyz),\\
\overline{W^4} &= -(11k+6)y^4 + 4k(11k+6)y^2z - 2k^2(6k-5)z^2,\\
\overline{W^5} &= -2(19k+12)y^5 + 10k(19k+12)y^3z - 10k^2(10k-7)yz^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi : R_{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{A}$; $W^s + C_2(\mathcal{N}) \mapsto W^s + C_2(V(k,0))$ and the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$ is generated by $\overline{W^s}$, $s = 2,3,4,5$.

We assign the weight to each term of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$ by $\operatorname{wt} y = 1$ and $\operatorname{wt} z = 2$. Since the weight of the elements $h(-1)\mathbf{1}$ and $e(-1)f(-1)\mathbf{1}$ of $V(k,0)$ is 1 and 2, respectively, the weight on $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$ is compatible with that on $V(k,0)$ and so on $R_{V(k,0)}$.

Consider the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ of the homomorphisms φ and ψ.

$$\psi \circ \varphi : \mathbb{C}[x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5] \to R_{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{A}; \quad x_s \mapsto \widetilde{W}^s \mapsto \overline{W^s}.$$

The kernel $\operatorname{Ker} \psi \circ \varphi$ of the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is the algebraic relations among $\overline{W^s}$, $s = 2,3,4,5$ in the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$. In [5, Section 2], three polynomials $B_0, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5]$ corresponding to null fields $\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2$ are introduced. In fact, $\varphi(B_i)$ is a nonzero constant multiple of $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}^i = \mathbf{v}^i + C_2(\mathcal{N})$, $i = 0,1,2$. Since $\mathbf{v}^i = 0$ in $\mathcal{N}$, it follows that $\varphi(B_i) = 0$.

At this stage, using a computer algebra system Risa/Asir, we can verify that the kernel $\operatorname{Ker} \psi \circ \varphi$ coincides with the ideal $\langle B_0, B_1, B_2\rangle$ of $\mathbb{C}[x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5]$ generated by B_0, B_1, B_2. This implies that the kernel of φ is also $\langle B_0, B_1, B_2\rangle$ and ψ is an isomorphism. Thus the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5.1. (1) $R_{\mathcal{N}} \cong \mathbb{C}[x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5]/\langle B_0, B_1, B_2\rangle$.
(2) $C_2(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N} \cap C_2(V(k,0))$ *and* $R_{\mathcal{N}} \cong \mathcal{A}$.

We make some remarks on null fields. Recall that a null field is a nontrivial linear relations among the vectors of the form (2.7). There are two linearly independent weight 8 null fields in $\mathcal{N}$, one is fixed by the automorphism θ of $\mathcal{N}$ and the other is an eigenvector for θ with eigenvalue -1 [5, Appendix C]. This latter one is a linear combination of vectors of the form (2.7) contained in $C_2(\mathcal{N})$ by Lemma 4.1, and so its image in $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ does not yield a nontrivial relation in $R_{\mathcal{N}}$.

The null field $\mathbf{v}^0$ is, up to scalar multiple a unique weight 8 null field which is fixed by θ. On the other hand, the choice of the null fields $\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2$ of [5, Section 2] is not intrinsic. Now, consider $W_1^3\mathbf{v}^0$ and $(W_1^3)^2\mathbf{v}^0$. The null field $\mathbf{v}^0$ is given explicitly in [5, Appendix C]. Using Lemma 4.4, we can calculate the image $(W_1^3)^r\mathbf{v}^0 + C_2(\mathcal{N})$ of $(W_1^3)^r\mathbf{v}^0$ in $R_{\mathcal{N}}$. The vectors $W_1^3\mathbf{v}^0$ and $(W_1^3)^2\mathbf{v}^0$ are null fields of weight 9 and 10, respectively. We also define an action of W_1^3 on $\mathbb{C}[x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5]$ so that it induces a derivation and acts on x_s just as $W_1^3 \cdot \widetilde{W}^s$ described in Lemma 4.4, $s = 2,3,4,5$. That is, the action of W_1^3 on $\mathbb{C}[x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5]$ is compatible with the action on $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ under the correspondence $x_s \leftrightarrow \widetilde{W}^s$. Let $T_0 = B_0$, $T_1 = W_1^3 \cdot T_0$ and $T_2 = (W_1^3)^2 \cdot T_0$, so that $\varphi(T_r) = (W_1^3)^r \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}^0$. We can verify that B_1 is a scalar multiple of T_1 and B_2 is a linear combination of x_2T_0 and T_2. Thus

the ideal $\langle T_0, T_1, T_2\rangle$ of $\mathbb{C}[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ generated by T_0, T_1, T_2 coincides with $\langle B_0, B_1, B_2\rangle$. Although $\mathcal{N}$ has infinitely many null fields, Theorem 5.1 implies that the three null fields $\mathbf{v}^0$, $W_1^3\mathbf{v}^0$ and $(W_1^3)^2\mathbf{v}^0$ are sufficient modulo $C_2(\mathcal{N})$.

We replace $\overline{W^s}$ with simpler polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[y, z]$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$, namely, we set

$$g_2 = y^2 - 2kz, \quad g_3 = y^3 - 3kyz, \quad g_4 = z^2, \quad g_5 = yz^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Then g_s is a homogeneous polynomial of weight s. Indeed, we can express $\overline{W^s}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{W^2} &= -\frac{1}{2k(k+2)}g_2,\\
\overline{W^3} &= 2g_3,\\
\overline{W^4} &= -(11k+6)g_2^2 + 2k^2(16k+17)g_4,\\
\overline{W^5} &= -2(19k+12)g_2g_3 + 2k^2(64k+107)g_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2 &= -2k(k+2)\overline{W^2},\\
g_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\overline{W^3},\\
g_4 &= \frac{2(k+2)^2(11k+6)}{16k+17}(\overline{W^2})^2 + \frac{1}{2k^2(16k+17)}\overline{W^4},\\
g_5 &= -\frac{(k+2)(19k+12)}{k(64k+107)}\overline{W^2}\cdot\overline{W^3} + \frac{1}{2k^2(64k+107)}\overline{W^5}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by g_s, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[y, z]$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2^4 - g_2g_3^2 - 5k^2g_2^2g_4 + 4k^4g_4^2 + 2k^2g_3g_5 &= 0,\\
g_2^3g_3 - g_3^3 - 5k^2g_2g_3g_4 + 2k^2g_2^2g_5 - 2k^4g_4g_5 &= 0,\\
g_2^3g_4 - g_3^2g_4 - 4k^2g_2g_4^2 + k^2g_5^2 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

are the algebraic relations among g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5 in $\mathbb{C}[y, z]$. That is, the kernel of an algebra homomorphism from the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5]$ with four variables into $\mathbb{C}[y, z]$ which maps t_s to g_s, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ is generated by the three polynomials of the left hand side of the above three equations. The kernel of the homomorphism $t_s \mapsto g_s$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ corresponds to the kernel of $\psi \circ \varphi : x_s \mapsto \overline{W^s}$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ by $g_s \leftrightarrow \overline{W^s}$. The algebraic relations among g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5 were calculated by the computer algebra system Risa/Asir.

We can verify that the terms $g_2^pg_3^qg_4^rg_5^s$ of weight at most 7 are linearly independent polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[y, z]$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
z^2 &= g_4,\\
yz^2 &= g_5,\\
z^3 &= \frac{3}{2k}g_2g_4 - \frac{1}{2k^3}(g_2^3 - g_3^2),\\
yz^3 &= \frac{1}{k}(g_2g_5 - g_3g_4).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(n)}$ the weight n subspaces of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$ and $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the weight defined by $\operatorname{wt} y = 1$ and $\operatorname{wt} z = 2$. Recall that $[n/2]$ is the largest integer which does not exceed $n/2$.

Lemma 5.2. (1) $\dim \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)} = [n/2]+1$ *with* $\{y^{n-2j}z^j;\ 0 \le j \le [n/2]\}$ *a basis of* $\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)}$ *for* $n \ge 0$.

(2) $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = 0$, *and* $\dim \mathcal{A}_{(n)} = [n/2]$ *with* $\{y^n - nky^{n-2}z, y^{n-2j}z^j;\ 2 \le j \le [n/2]\}$ *a basis of* $\mathcal{A}_{(n)}$ *for* $n \ge 2$. *In particular,* $\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)} = \mathbb{C}y^n \oplus \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$ *for* $n \ge 1$.

Proof. The assertion (1) is clear from the definition of weight on $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, we see that $\mathcal{A}$ contains z^j and yz^j for $j \ge 2$. Moreover, $y^iz^j = y^{i-2}z^jg_2 + 2ky^{i-2}z^{j+1}$ for $i \ge 2$ and so $y^iz^j \in \mathcal{A}$ for $i \ge 0$, $j \ge 2$. Then the assertion (2) follows from the expansion of the term $g_2^pg_3^q$. □

We define an action $W_1^3 \cdot f(y,z)$ of W_1^3 on $f(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that $C_2(V(k,0))$ is not invariant under the operator W_1^3. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^3e(-2)\mathbf{1} = &-3(5k^2-6k-16)h(-2)e(-1)\mathbf{1} - 3(7k^2-2k-8)h(-1)e(-2)\mathbf{1} \\ &+ 6(k+2)h(-1)^2e(-1)\mathbf{1} - 12ke(-1)^2f(-1)\mathbf{1} \\ &+ 6k(k-2)(5k+8)e(-3)\mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

is not contained in $C_2(V(k,0))$. Since this is the case, we first consider the action of W_1^3 on $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ as discussed in Section 4 and then transform it to $\mathcal{A}$ by the isomorphism ψ. Thus the action of W_1^3 on $\overline{v}$ is $W_1^3 \cdot \overline{v} = \psi(W_1^3 \cdot \widetilde{v})$ for $v \in \mathcal{N}$, that is,

$$W_1^3 \cdot (v + C_2(V(k,0))) = W_1^3v + C_2(V(k,0)).$$

In particular, $W_1^3 \cdot \overline{W^s} = \psi(W_1^3 \cdot \widetilde{W^s})$, $s = 2,3,4,5$.

The following lemma is a consequence of Lemma 4.4 and the relations between $\overline{W^2}$, $\overline{W^3}$, $\overline{W^4}$, $\overline{W^5}$ and g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5.

Lemma 5.3. W_1^3 *acts on* g_s, $s = 2,3,4,5$ *as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^3 \cdot g_2 &= -12k(k+2)g_3, \\ W_1^3 \cdot g_3 &= -18k(k+2)g_2^2 + 36k^3(2k+3)g_4, \\ W_1^3 \cdot g_4 &= -12k(3k+4)g_5, \\ W_1^3 \cdot g_5 &= \frac{6(7k+9)}{k}(g_2^3 - g_3^2) - 6k(28k+37)g_2g_4. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that W_1^3 acts on a polynomial in g_s, $s = 2,3,4,5$ as a derivation, since it acts on a polynomial in $\widetilde{W^s}$, $s = 2,3,4,5$ similarly.

Define a differential operator D on $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$ by

$$D = \big((k+2)y^2 - 2kz\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (3k+4)yz\frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proposition 5.4. *The restriction of the action of* $-6kD$ *to* $\mathcal{A}$ *coincides with the action of* W_1^3 *on* $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Calculate the action of D on the polynomials g_s, $s = 2,3,4,5$ of (5.2) and compare it with Lemma 5.3. Then we obtain the assertion. □

Since W^3 lies in the commutant $\mathcal{N}$ of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k,0)$, it follows that $W_1^3 h(-1)\mathbf{1} = h(-1)W_1^3\mathbf{1} = 0$. On the other hand, the action of D on $y = \overline{h(-1)\mathbf{1}}$ is nonzero. We note that the differential operator D is obtained by means of the action of W_1^3 on $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ and the isomorphism ψ.

6. Singular vector and ideals of $\mathcal{A}$

In this section we study the image $\overline{\mathbf{u}^0} = \mathbf{u}^0 + C_2(V(k,0))$ of the singular vector $\mathbf{u}^0$ defined by (2.8) in $R_{V(k,0)} = V(k,0)/C_2(V(k,0))$. We also consider $(W_1^3)^r \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}^0}$, $r = 1,2,3$.

For $s \geq 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq [s/2]$, set

$$Q(s,j) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq s \\ 2 \leq i_1, 4 \leq i_2, \ldots, 2j \leq i_j}} (i_1 - 1)(i_2 - 3) \cdots (i_j - 2j + 1). \tag{6.1}$$

Lemma 6.1. *For $s \geq 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq [s/2]$, we have*

$$Q(s,j) = \frac{s!}{2^j (s-2j)! j!}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on j. The equation is clear if $j = 1$, for both side of (6.2) are equal to $(s-1)s/2$ in this case.

Assume that the equation (6.2) holds for $j-1$. Let $t = i_j$. Then $Q(s,j)$ can be written as

$$Q(s,j) = \sum_{t=2j}^{s} (t - 2j + 1) Q(t-1, j-1).$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$Q(t-1, j-1) = \frac{(t-1)!}{2^{j-1}(t-2j+1)!(j-1)!}$$

and so

$$Q(s,j) = \frac{1}{2^{j-1}(j-1)!} \sum_{t=2j}^{s} (t-2j+1)(t-2j+2)\cdots(t-1).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}(t-2j+1)(t-2j+2)\cdots(t-1)t - (t-2j)(t-2j+1)(t-2j+2)\cdots(t-1) \\ = 2j(t-2j+1)(t-2j+2)\cdots(t-1),\end{aligned}$$

we can calculate that

$$\sum_{t=2j}^{s} (t-2j+1)(t-2j+2)\cdots(t-1) = \frac{1}{2j}(s-2j+1)(s-2j+2)\cdots(s-1)s$$

Hence (6.2) holds for j and the induction is complete. □

Recall that $R_{V(k,0)} = \mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]$ with three variables y_0, y_1, y_2 defined by (5.1). The subspace $C_2(V(k,0))$ is invariant under the operator $f(0)$, and in fact $f(0)$ induces a derivation on $\mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]$ by the action $f(0) \cdot \overline{v} = \overline{f(0)v}$. More precisely, the following lemma holds since $[f(0), h(-1)] = 2f(-1)$, $[f(0), e(-1)] = -h(-1)$ and $[f(0), f(-1)] = 0$.

Lemma 6.2. *For $p, q, r \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) \cdot y_0^p y_1^q y_2^r &= \overline{f(0)h(-1)^p e(-1)^q f(-1)^r \mathbf{1}} \\ &= 2py_0^{p-1} y_1^q y_2^{r+1} - q y_0^{p+1} y_1^{q-1} y_2^r. \end{aligned}$$

The above lemma implies that $f(0)$ acts on $R_{V(k,0)} = \mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]$ as a differential operator

$$2y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} - y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}. \tag{6.3}$$

We will calculate $\overline{f(0)^s e(-1)^n \mathbf{1}} = (2y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} - y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1})^s y_1^n$ for $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2n$. Note that $f(0)^s e(-1)^n \mathbf{1} = 0$ if $s > 2n$.

Theorem 6.3. *For $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2n$,*

$$\overline{f(0)^s e(-1)^n \mathbf{1}} = \sum_{j=\max\{0, s-n\}}^{[s/2]} c_j^{(s,n)} y_0^{s-2j} y_1^{n-s+j} y_2^j, \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$c_j^{(s,n)} = (-1)^{s-j} \frac{s!\, n!}{(s-2j)!\, (n-s+j)!\, j!} \tag{6.5}$$

for $\max\{0, s-n\} \leq j \leq [s/2]$. Here $[s/2]$ denotes the largest integer which does not exceed $s/2$.

Proof. Set $\mathbf{v} = f(0)^s e(-1)^n \mathbf{1}$ for simplicity of notation. We first show (6.4). We examine which terms $y_0^p y_1^q y_2^r$ appear in the expression of $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ as a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]$. The weight of $\mathbf{v}$ is n and so

$$p + q + r = n.$$

The vector $h(-1)^p e(-1)^q f(-1)^r \mathbf{1}$ is an eigenvector for $h(0)$ with eigenvalue $2(q-r)$. Since $h(0)\mathbf{v} = 2(n-s)\mathbf{v}$, it follows that

$$q - r = n - s.$$

Form these two equations we have $q = n - s + r$ and $p = s - 2r$. Replace r with j. Then we see that only the terms of the form $y_0^{s-2j} y_1^{n-s+j} y_2^j$ can appear in the expression of $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$. Since $s - 2j$, $n - s + j$ and j are nonnegative,

$$\max\{0, s-n\} \leq j \leq [s/2] \tag{6.6}$$

and (6.4) holds.

Next, we determine the coefficient $c_j^{(s,n)}$ of $y_0^{s-2j} y_1^{n-s+j} y_2^j$ in (6.4) for a fixed j. The polynomial $\overline{\mathbf{v}} = (2y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} - y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1})^s y_1^n$ is obtained by applying the differential operator (6.3) s times to y_1^n. In this process, we need to adopt j times the first part $2y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ and $s - j$ times the second part $-y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ of (6.3) to obtain $y_0^{s-2j} y_1^{n-s+j} y_2^j$. Note that the operators $y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ and $y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ do not commute. Denote by $c_{(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_j)}^{(s,n)}$ the coefficient of $y_0^{s-2j} y_1^{n-s+j} y_2^j$ in the case where we adopt the first part $2y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ in the i_1-th, the i_2-th, $\ldots$, the i_j-th turn with

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq s \tag{6.7}$$

and adopt the second part $-y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ in the remaining $s - j$ turns.

For instance, if $j = 0$ then we adopt $-y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ in all the s turns and obtain the monomial

$$(-1)^s n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)y_0^s y_1^{n-s} = (-1)^s \frac{n!}{(n-s)!} y_0^s y_1^{n-s}.$$

That is, $c_0^{(s,n)} = (-1)^s n!/(n-s)!$. Note that (6.6) implies $s \le n$ if $j = 0$.

The second simplest case is that $j = 1$. In this case we adopt $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ just one time and adopt $-y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ the remaining $s-1$ times. Suppose we adopt $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ in the i-th turn. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} y_1^n = 0$, we assume that $2 \le i \le s$. Up to the $(i-1)$-th turn we always apply $-y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ to y_1^n and obtain the monomial

$$(-1)^{i-1} n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+2)y_0^{i-1} y_1^{n-i+1}.$$

In the i-th turn we apply $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ to the above monomial and obtain

$$(-1)^{i-1} n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+2)\cdot 2(i-1)y_0^{i-2} y_1^{n-i+1} y_2.$$

In the $i+1$-th turn through the s-th turn we apply $-y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ to the above monomial. Then we obtain

$$(-1)^{s-1} n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+2)\cdot 2(i-1)y_0^{s-2} y_1^{n-s+1} y_2.$$

That is,

$$c_{(i)}^{(s,n)} = (-1)^{s-1}\frac{n!}{(n-s+1)!}\cdot 2(i-1).$$

Hence we have

$$c_1^{(s,n)} = \sum_{i=2}^{s} c_{(i)}^{(s,n)} = (-1)^{s-1}\frac{s!\,n!}{(s-2)!\,(n-s+1)!}.$$

We now consider $c_{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_j)}^{(s,n)}$ for a general case. We adopt $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ in the i_1-th, the i_2-th, $\dots$, the i_j-th turn for $i_1, \dots, i_j$ with the condition (6.7) and adopt $-y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ in the remaining $s-j$ turns. Just after the (i_1-1)-th turn we have the monomial

$$(-1)^{i_1-1} n(n-1)\cdots(n-i_1+2)y_0^{i_1-1} y_1^{n-i_1+1}.$$

Note that $n - i_1 + 1 \ge 0$ by the conditions (6.6) and (6.7).

In the i_1-th turn we apply $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ to the term $y_0^{i_1-1} y_1^{n-i_1+1}$. If $i_1 = 1$, then the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ transforms the term to 0. Hence we assume that $2 \le i_1$. By the action of $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ we obtain

$$2(i_1-1)y_0^{i_1-2} y_1^{n-i_1+1} y_2.$$

In the i_1+1-th turn through the i_2-1-th turn we apply $-y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ to the term $y_0^{i_1-2} y_1^{n-i_1+1} y_2$. Then we obtain

$$(-1)^{i_2-i_1-1}(n-i_1+1)(n-i_1)\cdots(n-i_2+3)y_0^{i_2-3} y_1^{n-i_2+2} y_2.$$

Note that $n - i_2 + 2 \ge 0$ by (6.6) and (6.7).

In the i_2-th turn we apply $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ to the term $y_0^{i_2-3} y_1^{n-i_2+2} y_2$. If $i_2 = 3$, then the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ transforms the term to 0. Hence we assume that $4 \le i_2$. By the action of $2y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ we obtain

$$2(i_2-3)y_0^{i_2-4} y_1^{n-i_2+2} y_2^2.$$

We continue the above procedures. Then we eventually have that

$$c^{(s,n)}_{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_j)} = (-1)^{s-j}\frac{n!}{(n-s+j)!}\cdot 2^j(i_1-1)(i_2-3)\cdots(i_j-2j+1)$$

if $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j$ satisfy the conditions $2 \le i_1$, $4 \le i_2$, $\dots$, $2j \le i_j$. For $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j$ which do not satisfy these conditions, we have $c^{(s,n)}_{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_j)} = 0$.

It remains to show the equation (6.5). The coefficient $c^{(s,n)}_j$ of $y_0^{s-2j}y_1^{n-s+j}y_2^j$ in $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ is the sum of all $c^{(s,n)}_{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_j)}$ with respect to $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(s,n)}_j &= \sum_{\substack{1\le i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_j\le s\\ 2\le i_1,4\le i_2,\dots,2j\le i_j}} c^{(s,n)}_{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_j)} \\ &= (-1)^{s-j}\frac{n!}{(n-s+j)!}\cdot 2^j Q(s,j) \\ &= (-1)^{s-j}\frac{s!\,n!}{(s-2j)!\,(n-s+j)!\,j!} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.1. The proof of Theorem 6.3 is complete. □

We now discuss $\overline{\mathbf{u}^0} = \mathbf{u}^0 + C_2(V(k,0)) \in R_{V(k,0)}$ for the singular vector $\mathbf{u}^0$ of (2.8). Recall that $y = y_0$ and $z = y_1y_2$. Let

$$f_0(y,z) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\overline{\mathbf{u}^0} \in \mathbb{C}[y,z].$$

The following assertion is a consequence of Theorem 6.3.

Corollary 6.4. *We have*

$$f_0(y,z) = \sum_{j=0}^{[(k+1)/2]} c_j y^{k+1-2j}z^j$$

with

$$c_j = (-1)^j\frac{(k+1)!}{(k+1-2j)!\,(j!)^2}.$$

We consider the action of the differential operator D defined by (5.3). For a homogeneous polynomial

$$f(y,z) = \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} a_j y^{n-2j}z^j$$

of weight n, we have

$$D\cdot f(y,z) = \sum_{j=0}^{[(n+1)/2]} \big(-2k(n+2-2j)a_{j-1} + (k(n+j)+2n)a_j\big)y^{n+1-2j}z^j,$$

where a_{-1} and $a_{[n/2]+1}$ are understood to be 0. Let

$$f_r(y,z) = D^r\cdot f_0(y,z)$$

be the image of $f_0(y,z)$ under the operator D^r, $r = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $f_r(y,z)$ is a constant multiple of $(W_1^3)^r \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}^0} = (W_1^3)^r \mathbf{u}^0 + C_2(V(k,0)) \in \mathbb{C}[y,z]$ by Proposition 5.4. The polynomial $f_r(y,z)$ is homogeneous of weight $k+1+r$.

We can verify that the Jacobian determinant of $(f_0(y,z), f_1(y,z))$ is nonzero.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \partial f_0/\partial y & \partial f_0/\partial z \\ \partial f_1/\partial y & \partial f_1/\partial z \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Indeed, if $k = 2m-1$ is odd, then $\partial f_0/\partial z$ contains the term z^{m-1} and $\partial f_1/\partial y$ contains the term z^m, whereas $\partial f_0/\partial y$ and $\partial f_1/\partial z$ are homogeneous polynomials of odd weight and so every term in these two polynomials involves an odd exponent of y. If $k = 2m$ is even, then both $\partial f_0/\partial y$ and $\partial f_1/\partial z$ contain the term z^m, whereas $\partial f_0/\partial z$ and $\partial f_1/\partial y$ are homogeneous of odd weight. In either case, the Jacobian determinant is nonzero.

Since the Jacobian determinant of $(f_0(y,z), f_1(y,z))$ is nonzero, $P(f_0(y,z), f_1(y,z)) = 0$ for a polynomial $P(X,Y) \in \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$ only if $P(X,Y) = 0$. That is, $f_0(y,z)$ and $f_1(y,z)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

We can verify the following lemma by a direct calculation.

Lemma 6.5. *$f_2(y,z)$ can be expressed as follows.*

$$f_2(y,z) = p(y,z) f_0(y,z) + q(y) f_1(y,z),$$

where $p(y,z) = -(k+1)(k+2)^2((k+1)y^2 + kz)$ and $q(y) = (k+2)(2k+3)y$.

Let J be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$ defined by

$$J = \mathbb{C}[y,z] f_0(y,z) + \mathbb{C}[y,z] f_1(y,z).$$

Then

$$f_r(y,z) \in J \quad \text{for } r \geq 0 \tag{6.8}$$

by the above lemma.

Lemma 6.6. $\dim \mathbb{C}[y,z]/J < \infty$.

Proof. Let $X = \{(y,z) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid g(y,z) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in J\}$ be the variety of the zero set of J. We need to show that $\dim X = 0$, or equivalently, $X = \{(0,0)\}$ because X is conic.

By (6.8), D preserves J, and hence it defines a derivation on $\mathbb{C}[y,z]/J$. Since J is a homogenous ideal, it is also preserved by the derivation

$$E = y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2z\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

which corresponds to the weight 0 operator $L_{\mathrm{aff}}(0) = (\omega_{\mathrm{aff}})_1$ for the conformal vector ω_{aff} of $V(k,0)$. Thus we have two elements D, E in $\mathrm{Der}(\mathbb{C}[y,z]/J)$. Let D_x and E_x be the images of these elements under the natural map $\mathrm{Der}(\mathbb{C}[y,z]/J) \to T_x X$ for $x \in X$, where $T_x X$ is the tangent space of X at x.

The determinant of the 2×2 matrix consisting of the coefficients of $\partial/\partial y$ and $\partial/\partial z$ in the differential operators D and E is

$$\begin{vmatrix} (k+2)y^2 - 2kz & (3k+4)yz \\ y & 2z \end{vmatrix} = -k(y^2 + 4z)z. \tag{6.9}$$

Suppose $\dim X > 0$. Then there exists a point $(y,z) \neq (0,0)$ in the smooth part of X. We claim that the determinant $-k(y^2+4z)z$ can not be 0. Indeed, if $z = -y^2/4$ then

$$f_0(y, -y^2/4) = \sum_{j=0}^{[(k+1)/2]} \frac{(-1)^j}{4^j} c_j y^{k+1}$$

is nonzero, for $(-1)^j c_j > 0$. Also, we have $f_0(y,0) = y^{k+1} \neq 0$. We have proved that the vectors $D_{(y,z)}$ and $E_{(y,z)}$ are linearly independent, and hense $\dim T_{(y,z)}X \geq 2$. This forces that $\dim X \geq 2$, which is absurd. This completes the proof. □

We will study the weight n subspace $J_{(n)}$ of J. Since $f_0(y,z)$ and $f_1(y,z)$ are homogeneous polynomials of weight $k+1$ and $k+2$, respectively, we have $J_{(n)} = 0$ for $n \leq k$, $J_{(k+1)} = \mathbb{C}f_0(y,z)$, and

$$J_{(n)} = \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n-k-1)} f_0(y,z) + \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n-k-2)} f_1(y,z) \tag{6.10}$$

for $n \geq k+2$. The next proposition plays an important role in our argument.

Proposition 6.7. *We have an exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$-modules*

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}[y,z] \xrightarrow{\xi_1} \mathbb{C}[y,z] \oplus \mathbb{C}[y,z] \xrightarrow{\xi_2} J \to 0, \tag{6.11}$$

where

$$\xi_1 : h(y,z) \mapsto (h(y,z)f_1(y,z), -h(y,z)f_0(y,z)),$$
$$\xi_2 : (h_0(y,z), h_1(y,z)) \mapsto h_0(y,z)f_0(y,z) + h_1(y,z)f_1(y,z).$$

That is, $a(y,z)f_0(y,z) + b(y,z)f_1(y,z) = 0$ for $a(y,z)$, $b(y,z) \in \mathbb{C}[y,z]$ if and only if $a(y,z) = h(y,z)f_1(y,z)$ and $b(y,z) = -h(y,z)f_0(y,z)$ for some $h(y,z) \in \mathbb{C}[y,z]$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a free $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$-module with basis $\{P_0, P_1\}$. We assign the weight of P_0 and P_1 by $\operatorname{wt} P_0 = k+1$ and $\operatorname{wt} P_1 = k+2$ so that $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded module. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{(n)}$ the weight n subspace of $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{(n)} = 0$ for $n \leq k$, $\mathcal{F}_{(k+1)} = \mathbb{C}P_0$ is of dimension 1, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{(n)} = \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n-k-1)} P_0 + \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n-k-2)} P_1 \tag{6.12}$$

for $n \geq k+2$. Let π be a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$-modules from $\mathcal{F}$ onto J which maps P_r to $f_r(y,z)$, $r = 0, 1$.

$$\pi : \mathcal{F} \to J; \quad P_r \mapsto f_r(y,z), \quad r = 0, 1. \tag{6.13}$$

Then $\mathcal{F}/\operatorname{Ker}\pi \cong J$. We will determine the kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\pi$ of π by using the equation

$$[n/2] = n - k - 2 - [(n-2k-3)/2] \tag{6.14}$$

for $n \geq 2k+3$.

Suppose $a(y,z)f_0(y,z) + b(y,z)f_1(y,z) = 0$ for some nonzero homogeneous $a(y,z)$, $b(y,z) \in \mathbb{C}[y,z]$ with $\operatorname{wt} a(y,z) \leq k+1$ and $\operatorname{wt} b(y,z) \leq k$. We choose $a(y,z)$ and $b(y,z)$ so that their weights are as small as possible. Then $\operatorname{wt} a(y,z) = m-k-1$ and $\operatorname{wt} b(y,z) = m-k-2$ for some $k+3 \leq m \leq 2k+2$.

Let $T = a(y,z)P_0 + b(y,z)P_1 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $\operatorname{wt} T = m$ and $\mathbb{C}[y,z]T$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\pi$. Take $n \geq m$. We consider the dimension of the weight n subspace. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[y,z]$-module, it follows from Lemma 5.2 and (6.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{F}_{(n)} &= [(n-k-1)/2] + [(n-k-2)/2] + 2 \\ &= n-k. \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Likewise, the weight n subspace of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]T$ is $\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n-m)}T$, which is $[(n-m)/2]+1$ dimensional. Since $\mathcal{F}/\operatorname{Ker}\pi \cong J$ and $\mathbb{C}[y,z]T \subset \operatorname{Ker}\pi$, we have an upper bound for the dimension of $J_{(n)}$.

$$\dim J_{(n)} \leq n-k-1-[(n-m)/2]. \tag{6.16}$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote the right hand side of (6.16) by $Q(n,m)$. If $n = 2k+2$, then $Q(2k+2,m) = k+1-[(2k+2-m)/2]$ and so $Q(n,m) < [n/2]+1$ for all $k+3 \leq m \leq 2k+2$. If $n = 2k+3$, then $Q(2k+3,m) = k+2-[(2k+3-m)/2]$ and so $Q(n,m) = [n/2]+1$ for $m = 2k+2$ and $Q(n,m) < [n/2]+1$ for $k+3 \leq m \leq 2k+1$. Now, both $Q(n,m)$ and $[n/2]+1$ increase by 1 when n increases by 2. Since $\dim \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)} = [n/2]+1$, it follows that $\dim J_{(n)} < \dim \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)}$ for infinitely many n. But this is impossible by Lemma 6.6. Therefore, $a(y,z)f_0(y,z) + b(y,z)f_1(y,z) \neq 0$ for any nonzero homogeneous polynomials $a(y,z)$, $b(y,z) \in \mathbb{C}[y,z]$ with $\operatorname{wt} a(y,z) \leq k+1$ and $\operatorname{wt} b(y,z) \leq k$.

This implies that the weight n subspace $(\operatorname{Ker}\pi)_{(n)}$ of $\operatorname{Ker}\pi$ is 0 for $n \leq 2k+2$ and that $\dim J_{(n)} = n-k$ for $k+2 \leq n \leq 2k+2$ by (6.10). In particular, $J_{(n)} = \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)}$ for $n = 2k+1$, $2k+2$.

Let s be a positive integer. By Lemma 5.2, $\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(2s+1)} = y\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(2s)}$ and $\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(2s+2)} = y\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(2s+1)} + z\mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(2s)}$. Hence the above paragraph in fact implies that $J_{(n)} = \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)}$ for all $n \geq 2k+1$.

Finally, we determine $\operatorname{Ker}\pi$. As shown above, $(\operatorname{Ker}\pi)_{(n)} = 0$ for $n \leq 2k+2$. Let $n \geq 2k+3$. Since $\mathcal{F}/\operatorname{Ker}\pi \cong J$, we have $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}\pi)_{(n)} = \dim \mathcal{F}_{(n)} - \dim J_{(n)}$. Moreover, $\dim J_{(n)} = [n/2]+1$. Thus

$$\dim(\operatorname{Ker}\pi)_{(n)} = [(n-2k-3)/2]+1$$

by (6.14) and (6.15). Now, $\mathbb{C}[y,z](f_1(y,z)P_0 - f_0(y,z)P_1)$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\pi$ and the dimension of its weight m subspace coincides with $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}\pi)_{(m)}$ for all $m \geq 0$. Therefore, it is equal to $\operatorname{Ker}\pi$ and the proof is complete. □

In the proof of the above proposition, the weight n subspace $J_{(n)}$ of J is determined. Combining the results with Lemma 5.2, we can calculate the dimension of $\mathbb{C}[y,z]/J$.

Lemma 6.8. (1) $J_{(n)} = 0$ *if* $n \leq k$ *and* $J_{(k+1)} = \mathbb{C}f_0(y,z)$.
(2) $\dim J_{(n)} = n-k$ *if* $k \leq n \leq 2k+2$.
(3) $J_{(n)} = \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)}$ *if* $n \geq 2k+1$.
(4) $\dim \mathbb{C}[y,z]/J = (k+1)(k+2)/2$.

Next, we study the intersection of $J_{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 6.9. (1) $J_{(n)} \cap \mathcal{A} = 0$ *if* $n \leq k$ *and* $J_{(k+1)} \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}f_0(y,z)$.
(2) $\dim J_{(n)} \cap \mathcal{A} = n-k-1$ *if* $k+2 \leq n \leq 2k+2$.
(3) $J_{(n)} \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$ *if* $n \geq 2k+1$.
(4) $\dim \mathcal{A}/(J \cap \mathcal{A}) = k(k+1)/2$.

Proof. The assertions (1) and (3) are clear from Lemma 6.8. Take n such that $k+2 \leq n \leq 2k+2$ and multiply $f_0(y,z)$ by y^{n-k-1}. Then we obtain an element

$$y^{n-k-1}f_0(y,z) = y^n - (k+1)ky^{n-2}z^2 + (\text{terms of the form } y^{n-2j}z^j, j \geq 2)$$

of $J_{(n)}$, which is not contained in $\mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 5.2. Since $\dim \mathcal{A}_{(n)} = \dim \mathbb{C}[y,z]_{(n)} - 1$, we have $\dim J_{(n)} \cap \mathcal{A} = \dim J_{(n)} - 1$ and the assertion (2) holds. Now, we can calculate the dimension of $\mathcal{A}/(J \cap \mathcal{A})$ and obtain the assertion (4). □

We consider some ideals of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $f_r(y,z)$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Let I_s be the ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $f_r(y,z)$, $0 \leq r \leq s-1$ and I the ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $f_r(y,z)$, $r \geq 0$. We will calculate the dimension of weight n subspaces $I_{s(n)}$ and $I_{(n)}$ of these ideals. First, we study $I_2 = \mathcal{A}f_0(y,z) + \mathcal{A}f_1(y,z)$.

Lemma 6.10. (1) $I_{2(n)} = 0$ *if* $n \leq k$ *and* $\dim I_{2(n)} = 1$ *if* $n = k+1, k+2$.
(2) $\dim I_{2(n)} = n-k-2$ *if* $k+3 \leq n \leq 2k+2$.
(3) $\dim I_{2(2k+3)} = k$.
(4) $I_{2(n)} = \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$ *if* $n \geq 2k+4$.
(5) $\dim \mathcal{A}/I_2 = (k+1)(k+2)/2$.

Proof. The weight n subspace of I_2 is $I_{2(n)} = \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-1)}f_0(y,z) + \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-2)}f_1(y,z)$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = 0$, we have $I_{2(k+1)} = \mathbb{C}f_0(y,z)$ and $I_{2(k+2)} = \mathbb{C}f_1(y,z)$. Thus the assertion (1) holds. The assertions (2), (3) and (4) follow from Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 6.7. Now, we can calculate the dimension of $\mathcal{A}/I_2$ and obtain the assertion (5). □

Next, we study $I_3 = I_2 + \mathcal{A}f_2(y,z)$.

Lemma 6.11. (1) $I_{3(n)} = I_{2(n)}$ *if* $n \leq k+2$, $n = k+4$, *or* $n \geq 2k+4$.
(2) $\dim I_{3(n)} = \dim I_{2(n)} + 1$ *if* $n = k+3$ *or* $k+5 \leq n \leq 2k+3$.
(3) $I_{3(n)} = \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$ *if* $n \geq 2k+1$.
(4) $\dim \mathcal{A}/I_3 = k(k+1)/2 + 1$.

Proof. Since $f_2(y,z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of weight $k+3$, we have $I_{3(n)} = I_{2(n)}$ for $n \leq k+2$. Let $n \geq k+3$. Then the weight n subspace of I_3 is

$$I_{3(n)} = \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-1)}f_0(y,z) + \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-2)}f_1(y,z) + \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-3)}f_2(y,z). \tag{6.17}$$

If $n = k+4$, then $I_{3(n)} = I_{2(n)}$ since $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = 0$. If $n \geq 2k+4$, then $I_{3(n)} = I_{2(n)}$ by Lemma 6.10 (4). Hence the assertion (1) holds.

There is no $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_2(y,z) = \alpha(y^2 - 2kz)f_0(y,z)$ by Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 6.7. Since $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(2)} = \mathbb{C}(y^2 - 2kz)$, we see that $I_{3(k+3)} = I_{2(k+3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_2(y,z)$.

It remains to discuss the case $k+5 \leq n \leq 2k+3$. In this case we study a necessary and sufficient condition for $c(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-3)}$ such that the equation

$$c(y,z)f_2(y,z) = a(y,z)f_0(y,z) + b(y,z)f_1(y,z) \tag{6.18}$$

holds for some $a(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-1)}$, $b(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-2)}$. We deal with two cases $k+5 \leq n \leq 2k+2$ and $n = 2k+3$ separately. Suppose $k+5 \leq n \leq 2k+2$. Then Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 6.7 imply that (6.18) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} a(y,z) - p(y,z)c(y,z) &= 0, \\ b(y,z) - q(y)c(y,z) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

Set $m = n-k-3$ and recall the basis of $\mathcal{A}_{(m)}$ in Lemma 5.2. If $c(y,z) = y^m - mky^{m-2}z \in \mathcal{A}_{(m)}$, then $yc(y,z) = y^{m+1} - mky^{m-1}z$ is not contained in $\mathcal{A}$. Thus $b(y,z) - q(y)c(y,z) \neq 0$ for any $b(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-2)}$. If $c(y,z) = y^{m-2j}z^j$ for some $2 \leq j \leq [m/2]$, then the exponent of z in each term appears in $p(y,z)c(y,z)$ and $q(y)c(y,z)$ is at least 2 and so $p(y,z)c(y,z)$ and $q(y)c(y,z)$ are contained in $\mathcal{A}$. Hence there exist $a(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-1)}$ and $b(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-k-2)}$ which satisfy (6.19). Thus $\dim I_{3(n)} = \dim I_{2(n)} + 1$ for $k+5 \leq n \leq 2k+2$.

Finally, we consider the case $n = 2k+3$. In this case, Proposition 6.7 implies that (6.18) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} a(y,z) - p(y,z)c(y,z) &= \beta f_1(y,z), \\ b(y,z) - q(y)c(y,z) &= -\beta f_0(y,z) \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. If $c(y,z) = y^k - k^2y^{k-2}z \in \mathcal{A}_{(k)}$, then $yc(y,z) \notin \mathcal{A}$ and so there is no $b(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(k+1)}$ which satisfies (6.20). If $c(y,z) = y^{k-2j}z^j$ for some $2 \leq j \leq [k/2]$, then as before we see that there are $a(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(k+2)}$ and $b(y,z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(k+1)}$ which satisfy (6.20).

We have shown that

$$I_{3(n)} = I_{2(n)} \oplus \mathbb{C}\big(y^{n-k-3} - (n-k-3)ky^{n-k-5}z\big) f_2(y,z)$$

for $k+5 \leq n \leq 2k+3$. This completes the proof of the assertion (2). Now, the assertions (3) and (4) are clear from Lemma 6.10. □

We are now in a position to discuss $I_4 = I_3 + \mathcal{A}f_3(y,z)$.

Lemma 6.12. (1) $I_4 = I_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}f_3(y,z)$.
(2) $\dim \mathcal{A}/I_4 = k(k+1)/2$.

Proof. We want to show that I_3 does not contain $f_3(y,z)$. By Lemma 6.5, the action of the differential operator D on $f_2(y,z)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(y,z) &= (D \cdot p(y,z))f_0(y,z) + \big(p(y,z) + D \cdot q(y)\big)f_1(y,z) + q(y)f_2(y,z) \\ &= \big(D \cdot p(y,z) + p(y,z)q(y)\big)f_0(y,z) + \big(p(y,z) + D \cdot q(y) + q(y)^2\big)f_1(y,z). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $D \cdot p(y,z) + p(y,z)q(y)$ is

$$(k+1)(k+2)^2\big((k+1)(k+2)(2k+5)y^3 - 2k(k^2+3k+3)yz\big)$$

and $p(y,z) + D \cdot q(y) + q(y)^2$ is

$$(k+2)\big((k+2)(3k^2+12k+11)y^2 - k(k^2+7k+8)z\big),$$

neither of which is contained in $\mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 5.2. On the other hand, we know that the weight $k+4$ subspace of I_3 is

$$I_{3(k+4)} = \mathbb{C}(y^3 - 3kyz)f_0(y,z) + \mathbb{C}(y^2 - 2kz)f_1(y,z),$$

since $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = 0$. Thus $I_{3(k+4)}$ does not contain $f_3(y,z)$ by Proposition 6.7.

This, together with Lemma 6.11 (4) implies that $\dim \mathcal{A}/I_4 \leq k(k+1)/2$. Since $I_4 \subset J \cap \mathcal{A}$, It follows from Lemma 6.9 (4) that $I_4 = J \cap \mathcal{A}$ and we obtain the assertions. □

As mentioned in the proof of the above lemma, we have $I_4 = J \cap \mathcal{A}$. Since $I_4 \subset I \subset J \cap \mathcal{A}$ by the definition of I, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 6.13. (1) $\dim \mathcal{A}/I = k(k+1)/2$.
(2) $I = I_4$ *and* $J \cap \mathcal{A} = I$.

7. Embedding of $R_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $R_{L(k,0)}$

In this section we study Zhu's C_2-algebra $R_{\mathcal{W}}$ of $\mathcal{W}$. Let $\mathcal{U} = V(k,0)(0)$, that is, $\mathcal{U} = \{v \in V(k,0) \mid h(0)v = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{U} \subset V(k,0)$. As before we denote the image of a subset S of $V(k,0)$ in $R_{V(k,0)}$ by $\overline{S} = S + C_2(V(k,0))$. Note that $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \mathbb{C}[y,z]$.

For $u, v \in V(k,0)$, we have $h(0)u_{-2}v = (h(0)u)_{-2}v + u_{-2}h(0)v$ by (2.1). Thus $C_2(V(k,0))$ is invariant under $h(0)$ and $h(0)$ induces an action on $R_{V(k,0)}$ by $h(0)\cdot\overline{v} = \overline{h(0)v}$. Moreover, $h(0)u_{-1}v = (h(0)u)_{-1}v + u_{-1}h(0)v$ implies that $h(0)$ acts on $R_{V(k,0)} = \mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]$ as a derivation. Actually, $h(0)\cdot y_0^p y_1^q y_2^r = 2(q-r)y_0^p y_1^q y_2^r$ by (5.1).

Since $L(k,0) = V(k,0)/\mathcal{J}$, we see that $R_{L(k,0)} = L(k,0)/C_2(L(k,0))$ is isomorphic to $V(k,0)/(C_2(V(k,0)) + \mathcal{J}) \cong R_{V(k,0)}/\overline{\mathcal{J}}$. We identify $R_{L(k,0)}$ with $R_{V(k,0)}/\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ for simplicity and write $\overline{v} + \overline{\mathcal{J}}$, $v \in V(k,0)$ for an element of $R_{L(k,0)}$.

A basis of $L(k,0)$ in known [8, 20] (see [9, Theorem 1.1] also.) Using the above convention, we see that

$$y_0^p y_1^q y_2^r + \overline{\mathcal{J}}, \quad r \le k, p + r \le k, p + q \le k \tag{7.1}$$

form a basis of $R_{L(k,0)}$. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of $V(k,0)$, its image $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ in $R_{V(k,0)}$ is invariant under $h(0)$ and so $h(0)$ acts on $R_{L(k,0)}$ by $h(0)\cdot(\overline{v} + \overline{\mathcal{J}}) = h(0)\cdot\overline{v} + \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. With respect to this action, the element $y_0^p y_1^q y_2^r + \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ is an eigenvector for $h(0)$ with eigenvalue $2(q-r)$. Hence $y^p z^q + \overline{\mathcal{J}}$, $q \le k$, $p+q \le k$ form a basis of $R^{\mathfrak{h}}_{L(k,0)} = \{x \in R_{L(k,0)} \mid h(0)\cdot x = 0\}$. We also note that

$$R^{\mathfrak{h}}_{L(k,0)} = (\overline{\mathcal{U}} + \overline{\mathcal{J}})/\overline{\mathcal{J}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{U}}/(\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}). \tag{7.2}$$

The element $y^p z^q + \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ is of weight $p + 2q$. Thus $y^p z^q + \overline{\mathcal{J}}$, $q \le k$, $p + q \le k$, $p + 2q = n$ form a basis of the weight n subspace $(R^{\mathfrak{h}}_{L(k,0)})_{(n)}$ of $R^{\mathfrak{h}}_{L(k,0)}$. In particular,

$$\dim(R^{\mathfrak{h}}_{L(k,0)})_{(n)} = \begin{cases} [n/2] + 1 & \text{if } n \le k, \\ [n/2] + 1 - n + k & \text{if } k+1 \le n \le 2k, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \ge 2k+1. \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

Note that $[n/2] + 1 - n + k$ is equal to $k - [n/2] + 1$ or $k - [n/2]$ according as n is even or odd for $k + 1 \le n \le 2k$.

Recall that $J = \mathbb{C}[y,z]f_0(y,z) + \mathbb{C}[y,z]f_1(y,z)$.

Lemma 7.1. *$J = \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}$.*

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{J}$, it contains $\mathbf{u}^0$ and $W_1^3\mathbf{u}^0$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ contains $f_0(y,z)$ and $f_1(y,z)$. If $v \in \mathcal{J}$, then $h(-1)^p e(-1)^q f(-1)^q v \in \mathcal{J}$ and $y^p z^q \overline{v} \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ for $p, q \ge 0$. Hence $J \subset \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. We compare the dimension of the weight n subspaces of J and $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. It follows from (7.2) that $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}})_{(n)}$ is the difference of $\dim \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{(n)}$ and $\dim(R^{\mathfrak{h}}_{L(k,0)})_{(n)}$. Since $\dim \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{(n)} = [n/2] + 1$ by Lemma 5.2, we see that $\dim J_{(n)}$ coincides with $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}})_{(n)}$ for all $n \ge 0$ by (7.3) and Lemma 6.8. Thus the assertion holds. □

Lemma 7.2. *$I = \overline{\mathcal{N}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}$.*

Proof. Recall that $\overline{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{A}$ by the definition of $\mathcal{A}$ and $J \cap \mathcal{A} = I$ by Theorem 6.13. Then Lemma 7.1 implies $I = \overline{\mathcal{N}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. We also have $\overline{\mathcal{I}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}$, for $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{J}$ by [5, Lemma 3.1]. The maximal ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ contains $(W_1^3)^r\mathbf{u}^0$ and so $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ contains $f_r(y,z)$, $r \ge 0$. Since

I is the ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ generated by $f_r(y,z)$, $0 \leq r \leq 3$, we have $I \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}$. This proves the lemma. □

Theorem 7.3. (1) $\dim R_{\mathcal{W}} = k(k+1)/2$. *In particular,* $\mathcal{W}$ *is* C_2*-cofinite.*
(2) $C_2(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W} \cap C_2(L(k,0))$ *and* $R_{\mathcal{W}} \hookrightarrow R_{L(k,0)}$.

Proof. The map $\psi : R_{\mathcal{N}} \to R_{V(k,0)}$; $v + C_2(\mathcal{N}) \mapsto v + C_2(V(k,0))$ is injective by Theorem 5.1. Since $\psi(R_{\mathcal{N}}) = \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\psi(\mathcal{I} + C_2(\mathcal{N})) = \overline{\mathcal{I}}$, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{N}}/\overline{\mathcal{I}} \cong \mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{I} + C_2(\mathcal{N})) \cong R_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then Theorem 6.13 and Lemma 7.2 imply the first assertion.

Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{N}}/\overline{\mathcal{I}} \cong (\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \overline{\mathcal{J}})/\overline{\mathcal{J}} \subset (\overline{\mathcal{U}} + \overline{\mathcal{J}})/\overline{\mathcal{J}} = R^{\mathfrak{h}}_{L(k,0)} \subset R_{L(k,0)}$ by Lemma 7.2 and (7.2). Thus $R_{\mathcal{W}} \hookrightarrow R_{L(k,0)}$ and the second assertion holds. □

We know $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{N} \cap C_2(V(k,0)) = C_2(\mathcal{N})$. Moreover, Lemma 7.2 implies that

$$(\mathcal{N} + C_2(V(k,0))) \cap (\mathcal{J} + C_2(V(k,0))) = \mathcal{I} + C_2(V(k,0)).$$

Taking the intersection with $\mathcal{N}$, we obtain $\mathcal{N} \cap (\mathcal{J} + C_2(V(k,0))) = \mathcal{I} + C_2(\mathcal{N})$.

Recall that $\mathcal{U} = V(k,0)(0) = M(k,0) \otimes \mathcal{N}$, where $M(k,0) = M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k,0)$ is the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra. Thus Zhu's C_2-algebra of $\mathcal{U}$ is $R_{\mathcal{U}} = R_{M(k,0)} \otimes R_{\mathcal{N}}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[y] \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Hence $\mathcal{U} \cap C_2(V(k,0)) \neq C_2(\mathcal{U})$, for $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \mathbb{C}[y,z]$.

By Theorem 6.13 and Lemma 7.2, we have $I_4 = \overline{\mathcal{I}}$. This implies that the four vectors $\mathbf{u}^0$, $W_1^3\mathbf{u}^0$, $(W_1^3)^2\mathbf{u}^0$ and $(W_1^3)^3\mathbf{u}^0$ carry sufficient information about the maximal ideal $\mathcal{I}$ modulo $C_2(\mathcal{N})$ in a sense. Recall that the three null fields $\mathbf{v}^0$, $W_1^3\mathbf{v}^0$ and $(W_1^3)^2\mathbf{v}^0$ are sufficient for the proof of Theorem 5.1. The information coming from $(W_1^3)^r\mathbf{v}^0$, $r = 0,1,2$ and that from $(W_1^3)^r\mathbf{u}^0$, $r = 0,1,2,3$ are independent of each other modulo $C_2(\mathcal{N})$.

8. Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W})$

In this section we study Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W})$ of $\mathcal{W}$. We use the filtrations discussed in Section 3. Since $\mathcal{W}$ is the commutant of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k,0)$ in $L(k,0)$, the action of the operator $(\omega_{\mathrm{aff}})_1$ on $\mathcal{W}$ agrees with that of the operator W_1^2, where ω_{aff} denotes the conformal vector of $L(k,0)$. Thus the weight n subspace $\mathcal{W}_{(n)}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ is contained in the weight n subspace $L(k,0)_{(n)}$ of $L(k,0)$. Since $\mathcal{W} \subset L(k,0)$, we have $O(\mathcal{W}) \subset O(L(k,0))$ and $A(\mathcal{W}) \to A(L(k,0))$; $a + O(\mathcal{W}) \mapsto a + O(L(k,0))$ is an algebra homomorphism. This homomorphism maps $F_pA(\mathcal{W})$ into $F_pA(L(k,0))$, and so it induces a homomorphism $\operatorname{gr} A(\mathcal{W}) \to \operatorname{gr} A(L(k,0))$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Poisson algebras.

Likewise, the assignment $a + C_2(\mathcal{W})_{(p)} \mapsto a + C_2(L(k,0))_{(p)}$ for $a \in \mathcal{W}_{(p)}$ induces a homomorphism $R_{\mathcal{W}} \to R_{L(k,0)}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Poisson algebras. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{\mathcal{W}} & \longrightarrow & R_{L(k,0)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{gr} A(\mathcal{W}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{gr} A(L(k,0)) \end{array} \tag{8.1}$$

of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Poisson algebras by Proposition 3.3. It is known that $\dim R_{L(k,0)} = (k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)/6$ and the homomorphism $R_{L(k,0)} \to \operatorname{gr} A(L(k,0))$ is an isomorphism ([9], [14, Section IV.2]). Since the homomorphism $R_{\mathcal{W}} \to R_{L(k,0)}$ is injective by Theorem 7.3, the commutative diagram (8.1) implies that the surjective homomorphism $R_{\mathcal{W}} \to \operatorname{gr} A(\mathcal{W})$ is in fact an isomorphism and that the homomorphism $\operatorname{gr} A(\mathcal{W}) \to \operatorname{gr} A(L(k,0))$ is injective.

Hence $\dim R_{\mathcal{W}} = \dim A(\mathcal{W})$ and $A(\mathcal{W}) \to A(L(k,0))$; $a + O(\mathcal{W}) \mapsto a + O(L(k,0))$ is injective. Thus the following theorem holds.

Theorem 8.1. (1) $\dim A(\mathcal{W}) = k(k+1)/2$.
(2) $O(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W} \cap O(L(k,0))$ *and* $A(\mathcal{W}) \hookrightarrow A(L(k,0))$.

Next, we show that $A(\mathcal{W})$ is semisimple. Recall that $A(\mathcal{W})$ is commutative [5, Lemma 2.6]. Since $A(\mathcal{W}) \to A(L(k,0))$; $a + O(\mathcal{W}) \mapsto a + O(L(k,0))$ is injective, it is sufficient to show that $A(\mathcal{W})$ acts semisimply on $A(L(k,0))$. By [13, Theorem 3.1.3], $A(L(k,0))$ is a semisimple algebra and as an sl_2-module it is a direct sum of finite dimensional irreducible modules U^i with highest weight i, $0 \le i \le k$. Moreover, [5, Proposition 4.5] implies that $A(\mathcal{W})$ acts semisimply on U^i. Thus $A(\mathcal{W})$ acts semisimply on $A(L(k,0))$ as desired.

In [5, Section 4], $k(k+1)/2$ irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-modules $M^{i,j}$, $0 \le i \le k$, $0 \le j \le i-1$ were constructed. We now show that these irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-modules are inequivalent. Let $\mathbb{C}v$ be an irreducible $A(\mathcal{W})$-module. Then the induced module $A(L(k,0)) \otimes_{A(\mathcal{W})} \mathbb{C}v$ is a finite dimensional $A(L(k,0))$-module and it decomposes into a direct sum of U^i's. It follows that $\mathbb{C}v$ appears as a direct summand of the restriction $\mathrm{Res}^{A(L(k,0))}_{A(\mathcal{W})} U^i$ of some U^i to an $A(\mathcal{W})$-module. Such an irreducible $A(\mathcal{W})$-module must be isomorphic to the top level $\mathbb{C}v^{i,j}$ of $M^{i,j}$ studied in [5, Section 4] for some j. That is, $\mathbb{C}v \cong \mathbb{C}v^{i,j}$ as $A(\mathcal{W})$-modules for some i, j. Since $A(\mathcal{W})$ is commutative, semisimple and of dimension $k(k+1)/2$, it has exactly $k(k+1)/2$ inequivalent irreducible modules. Hence the $k(k+1)/2$ irreducible $A(\mathcal{W})$-modules $\mathbb{C}v^{i,j}$, $0 \le i \le k$, $0 \le j \le i-1$ are all inequivalent. Therefore, the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 8.2. (1) $A(\mathcal{W})$ *is semisimple.*
(2) *The* $k(k+1)/2$ *irreducible* $\mathcal{W}$*-modules* $M^{i,j}$, $0 \le i \le k$, $0 \le j \le i-1$ *constructed in* [5] *form a complete set of isomorphism classes of irreducible* $\mathcal{W}$*-modules.*

We make some remarks about Conjecture 4.6 of [5]. Recall that W^2 and $\mathcal{W}$ are denoted by ω and $K_0 = M^{0,0}$, respectively in [5] (see [4, Theorem 4.1] also.) The above theorem gives an affirmative answer to part of the conjecture. The C_2-cofiniteness of $\mathcal{W}$ is obtained in Theorem 7.3.

As to Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W})$, it is known to be commutative and generated by four elements $[W^s] = W^s + O(\mathcal{W})$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ [5, Lemma 2.6]. In the case $k = 16$, we can verify by [5, Proposition 4.5] that the two vectors $v^{i,j}$, $(i,j) = (2,1)$ and $(8,0)$ have common eigenvalues for $o(W^s)$, $s = 2, 3, 5$, but not for $o(W^4)$. In the case $k = 100$, the two vectors $v^{i,j}$, $(i,j) = (12,1)$ and $(12,11)$ have common eigenvalues for $o(W^s)$, $s = 2, 3, 4$, but not for $o(W^5)$. These examples imply that $[W^4]$ and $[W^5]$ are necessary to generate $A(\mathcal{W})$. Thus the latter half of Conjecture 4.6 (2) of [5] is incorrect.

9. Projectivity of $\mathcal{W}$

In this section we show that the parafermion vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}$ is projective, that is, any $\mathcal{W}$-module extension of the $\mathcal{W}$-module $\mathcal{W}$ itself splits. For this purpose, we need the action of $o(W^2)$ and $o(W^3)$ on the top level $\mathbb{C}v^{i,j}$ of the $k(k+1)/2$ irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-modules $M^{i,j}$ [5, Proposition 4.5]. The argument here is a standard one.

Let

$$0 \to M \to N \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{W} \to 0 \tag{9.1}$$

be a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{W}$-modules with M an irreducible module. We want to show that the short exact sequence splits. By Theorem 8.2, $M \cong M^{i,j}$ for some i, j. Then the top level of M is one dimensional and its weight is a nonnegative integer. Thus the top level of N is the weight 0 subspace $N_{(0)}$.

Suppose the top level of M is of weight 0. Then M is isomorphic to $M^{0,0} = \mathcal{W}$ by [5, Proposition 4.5] and $\dim N_{(0)} = 2$. Since Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W})$ of $\mathcal{W}$ is commutative and semisimple by Theorem 8.2, $N_{(0)}$ is a direct sum of one dimensional $A(\mathcal{W})$ -modules, say $N_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}v^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}v^2$. Let N^r be the submodule of N generated by v^r, $r = 1, 2$. Then $N^r = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a_n v^r \mid a \in \mathcal{W}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Since $\operatorname{wt} v^r = 0$, $a_n v^r$ is contained in $N_{(0)}$ only if the operator a_n is of weight 0, that is $a_n = o(a)$. Hence $N^r \cap N_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}v^r$. This implies that $N = N^1 \oplus N^2$. Thus the extension (9.1) splits.

Next, suppose the weight of the top level of M is greater than 1. In this case the weight 1 subspace $N_{(1)}$ of N is trivial, for $N/M \cong \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{(1)} = 0$. Take a nonzero element $v \in N_{(0)}$ such that $f(v) = \mathbf{1}$. Then $L(-1)v = 0$ where $L(-1) = W^2_0$, for $L(-1)v$ is of weight 1. Hence v is a vacuum-like vector by [17, Corollary 4.7.6], [18, Proposition 3.3] and the map $g : a \mapsto a_{-1}v$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{W}$-modules from $\mathcal{W}$ to N by [17, Proposition 4.7.7]. Thus the extension (9.1) splits.

Finally, suppose the top level of M is of weight 1. Then $\dim N_{(0)} = \dim N_{(1)} = 1$. Take a nonzero element $v \in N_{(0)}$ such that $f(v) = \mathbf{1}$. Then

$$W^s_{s-1+n}v = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, s = 2, 3, 4, 5. \tag{9.2}$$

We want to study the action of $o(W^3) = W^3_2$ on the four elements $W^2_0 v$, $W^3_1 v$, $W^4_2 v$ and $W^5_3 v$ of weight 1. For this, we use the expression of $W^3_n W^s$ as a linear combination of elements of the form (2.7) in [5, Appendix B] and the properties of the Virasoro operators $L(-1) = W^2_0$ and $L(0) = W^2_1$. We can calculate the action of $o(W^3)$ on those four elements by the formulas (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and the conditions (9.2) on the vector v.

For instance, the action of $o(W^3)$ on $W^4_2 v$ is $o(W^3)W^4_2 v = W^3_2 W^4_2 v = [W^3_2, W^4_2]v$, since $W^3_2 v = 0$. It follows from (2.1) that

$$[W^3_2, W^4_2] = (W^3_0 W^4)_4 + 2(W^3_1 W^4)_3 + (W^3_2 W^4)_2.$$

By [5, Appendix B] , $W^3_0 W^4$ is a linear combination of $W^2_{-2}W^3$, $W^2_{-1}W^3_{-2}\mathbf{1}$, $W^3_{-4}\mathbf{1}$ and $W^5_{-2}\mathbf{1}$. Since

$$(W^2_{-2}W^3)_4 = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{-2}{i} \left(W^2_{-2-i}W^3_{4+i} - W^3_{2-i}W^2_i\right),$$

we have $(W^2_{-2}W^3)_4 v = -W^3_2 W^2_0 v = -2W^3_1 v$ by (2.3) and the conditions (9.2). Likewise, $(W^2_{-1}W^3_{-2}\mathbf{1})_4 v = -6W^3_1 v$, $(W^3_{-4}\mathbf{1})_4 v = -4W^3_1 v$ and $(W^5_{-2}\mathbf{1})_4 v = -4W^5_3 v$. In this way we can express $o(W^3)W^4_2 v$ as a linear combination of the four elements $W^s_{s-2}v$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Note that the four elements $W^s_{s-2}v$, $s = 2, 3, 4, 5$ are not linearly independent, for $\dim N_{(1)} = 1$.

In fact, we have $o(W^3)W^r_{r-2}v = \sum_{s=2}^5 a_{rs}W^s_{s-2}v$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{23} &= 2,\\
a_{32} &= 54k^3(k-2)(k+2)(3k+4)/(16k+17),\\
a_{34} &= 18k(2k+3)/(16k+17),\\
a_{43} &= 32k^2(k-3)(2k+1)(2k+3)(2k+7)/(64k+107),\\
a_{45} &= -24k(3k+4)(16k+17)/(5(64k+107)),\\
a_{52} &= 120k^4(k+2)(2k+1)(2k+3)(3k+4)(8k^2+5k+5)/(16k+17),\\
a_{54} &= -15k^2(208k^3+649k^2+580k+120)/(2(16k+17)),
\end{aligned}$$

and the other a_{rs}'s are 0. The 4×4 matrix $(a_{rs})_{2\le r,s\le 5}$ has four eigenvalues

$$\pm\, 6k^2(k+2), \qquad \pm 6k^2(3k+4). \tag{9.3}$$

The four elements $W^s_{s-2}v$, $s=2,3,4,5$ are of weight 1, so that $o(W^2)$ acts as 1 on these elements. We consider the following system of equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2k(k+2)}\Big(k(i-2j)-(i-2j)^2+2k(i-j+1)j\Big) &= 1,\\
k^2(i-2j)-3k(i-2j)^2+2(i-2j)^3-6k(i-2j)(i-j+1)j &= \lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where the left hand side is the eigenvalue of the action of $o(W^2)$ and $o(W^3)$, respectively on the top level $\mathbb{C}v^{i,j}$ of the irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-module $M^{i,j}$ [5, Proposition 4.5]. We can verify that there are no integers i,j which satisfy the above system of equations for any of $\lambda = \pm 6k^2(k+2), \pm 6k^2(3k+4)$. This contradicts (9.3). Thus no irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-module M with weight 1 top level can satisfy the exact sequence (9.1).

The following theorem is proved.

Theorem 9.1. *$\mathcal{W}$ is projective as a $\mathcal{W}$-module.*

10. C_2-cofiniteness of $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$: general case

In this section we show that the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ is C_2-cofinite for any finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and any positive integer k. Our argument is a slightly modified version of that in [7, Sections 3 and 4], which is based on a result of [19].

For a vector space X, let $X^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(X,\mathbb{C})$ be its dual space. A fact used in [19] is that a vertex operator algebra V is C_2-cofinite if and only if the dual space $(R_V)^*$ of $R_V = V/C_2(V)$ is contained in the restricted dual $V' = \bigoplus_n V^*_{(n)}$ of V. Indeed, the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $R_V = \bigoplus_n (R_V)_n$ of (3.3) implies that $(R_V)^* = \prod_n (R_V)^*_n$. Since $(R_V)^*_n \subset V^*_{(n)}$, we see that $(R_V)^* \subset V'$ if and only if $(R_V)_n \neq 0$ for only finitely many n.

In [19], Li introduced a weak module $(D(M), Y^*)$ associated with a weak module M for a vertex operator algebra V [19, Definition 2.4]. Let $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle : M^*\times M\to\mathbb{C}$ be the natural pairing. For $v\in V$, $Y^*(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v^*_n z^{-n-1}$ is defined by

$$\langle Y^*(v,z)w, u\rangle = \langle w, Y(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}v, z^{-1})u\rangle$$

for $w\in M^*$ and $u\in M$. In fact, $(D(M),Y^*)$ is a unique maximal weak V-module contained in (M^*,Y^*) [19, Remark 2.9]. An important property of $D(M)$ is [19, Proposition

3.6]

$$(M/C_2(M))^* \subset D(M), \tag{10.1}$$

where $C_2(M)$ is the subspace of M spanned by the elements $v_{-2}u$, $v \in V$, $u \in M$ and $(M/C_2(M))^*$ is the set of $\eta \in M^*$ such that $\eta(C_2(M)) = 0$.

For a V-module $M = \bigoplus_{h\in\mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}$, a V-module structure (M', Y') on its restricted dual $M' = \bigoplus_{h\in\mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}^*$ was defined and studied in [11, Sections 5.2 and 5.3]. The V-module (M', Y') is called the contragredient module. The double cntragredient module (M'', Y'') is naturally isomorphic to the original V-module (M, Y) [11, Proposition 5.3.1]. In this case $M^* = \prod_{h\in\mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}^*$ and $Y^*(v, z)$ agrees with $Y'(v, z)$ on M'.

We will consider $D(M)$ and $D(M')$ for a V-module $M = \bigoplus_{h\in\mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}$. A slightly different notation is used in [7]. In fact, $D(M')$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(M)$ in [7]. For the rest of this section, we follow the notation in [7]. Note that the dual space $(M')^*$ of M' is $\prod_h M_{(h)}$ since $M_{(h)}$ is finite dimensional. Thus

$$\mathcal{D}(M) = \{w \in \prod_h M_{(h)} \,|\, v_n w = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0, v \in V\}.$$

For a vertex operator subalgebra U of V, set

$$\mathcal{D}_U(M) = \{w \in \prod_h M_{(h)} \,|\, v_n w = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0, v \in U\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_U(M)$ is a unique maximal weak U-module contained in $\prod_h M_{(h)}$ and $\mathcal{D}(M) \subset \mathcal{D}_U(M)$.

Lemma 10.1. *Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and $U = (U, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega_U)$ a vertex operator subalgebra with $\omega_U \in V_{(2)}$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{h\in\mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}$ be a V-module. Assume that U is C_2-cofinite and that $L_U(0) = (\omega_U)_1$ is semisimple on M. Then $L_U(0)$ is semismple on $\mathcal{D}_U(M)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}_U(M)$ is a weak U-module and since U is C_2-cofinite, $\mathcal{D}_U(M)$ decomposes into a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for $L_U(0)$ [1, Lemma 5.6, Proposition 5.7]. Let $w = (w^h)_h \in \mathcal{D}_U(M)$ be a generalized eigenvector for $L_U(0)$ with eigenvalue λ, where $w^h \in M_{(h)}$. Then $(L_U(0) - \lambda)^m w = 0$ for some m. Since $\omega_U \in V_{(2)}$, the operator $L_U(0)$ is a weight 0 operator and it leaves $M_{(h)}$ invariant. Then for each h, we have $(L_U(0) - \lambda)^m w^h = 0$. Furthermore, $L_U(0)$ is semisimple on $M_{(h)}$, since we are assuming that $L_U(0)$ is semisimple on M. Thus $L_U(0)w^h = \lambda w^h$ for all h and so $L_U(0)w = \lambda w$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_U(M)$ is a direct sum of eigenspaces for $L_U(0)$ as desired. □

Since $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is an $L_U(0)$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{D}_U(M)$, the above lemma implies that $L_U(0)$ is semisimple on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ also. Taking M to be V, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 10.2. *Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and $U = (U, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega_U)$ a vertex operator subalgebra with $\omega_U \in V_{(2)}$. Assume that U is C_2-cofinite and that $L_U(0) = (\omega_U)_1$ is semisimple on V. Then $L_U(0)$ is semismple on $\mathcal{D}(V)$.*

We need another lemma, which is a variant of [7, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 10.3. *Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a simple vertex operator algebra not necessarily $V_{(n)} = 0$ for $n < 0$ nor $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Suppose there is a positive definite hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V such that $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a unitary representation of the Virasoro algebra Vir*

generated by the component operators of $Y(\omega,z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}L(n)z^{-n-2}$. *Then* $V=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}V_{(n)}$ *with* $V_{(0)}=\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ *and* $L(1)V_{(1)}=0$.

Proof. By our hypothesis, V is completely reducible as a Vir-module and so $L(0)$ is semisimple on V. Moreover, the eigenvalues for $L(0)$ on V are nonnegative. Thus $V_{(n)}=0$ for $n<0$.

Let $0\neq v\in V_{(0)}$. Then v is a highest weight vector for Vir with highest weight 0. The Vir-module generated by v is an irreducible highest weight module with highest weight 0 and so $L(-1)v=0$. Hence v is a vacuum-like vector by [17, Corollary 4.7.6], [18, Proposition 3.3], that is, $u_nv=0$ for all $n\geq 0$ and $u\in V$. Then $v\in\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ by [17, Proposition 3.11.4], for V is a simple vertex operator algebra. Thus $V_{(0)}=\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$.

For $v\in V_{(1)}$, we have $\langle L(1)v,\mathbf{1}\rangle=\langle v,L(-1)\mathbf{1}\rangle=0$ by the unitarity. Since $L(1)V_{(1)}\subset V_{(0)}=\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and since $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ is positive definite, we conclude that $L(1)V_{(1)}=0$. □

The following theorem is a modified version of [7, Theorem 3.3], namely, we remove the rationality of vertex operator subalgebras from the assumption.

Theorem 10.4. *Let* $V=(V,Y,\mathbf{1},\omega)$ *be a simple vertex operator algebra not necessarily* $V_{(n)}=0$ *for* $n<0$ *nor* $V_{(0)}=\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. *Assume that there exist finitely many vertex operator subalgebras* $V^i=(V^i,Y,\mathbf{1},\omega^i)$ *with* $\omega^i\in V_{(2)}$, $1\leq i\leq p$ *of* V *which satisfy the following three conditions: (a) Each* V^i *is* C_2*-cofinite, (b)* $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^p a_i\omega^i$ *for some positive constants* a_i, *(c) There is a positive definite hermitian form* $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ *on* V *such that* $(V,\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle)$ *is a unitary representation of the Virasoro algebra* Vir^i *generated by the component operators of* $Y(\omega^i,z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}L^i(n)z^{-n-2}$ *for* $1\leq i\leq p$. *Then the the following assertions hold.*

(1) $V=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}V_{(n)}$ *with* $V_{(0)}=\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ *and* $L(1)V_{(1)}=0$.

(2) V *is* C_2*-cofinite.*

Proof. The conditions (b) and (c) imply that $(V,\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle)$ is a unitary representation of the Virasoro algebra Vir generated by the component operators of $Y(\omega,z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}L(n)z^{-n-2}$. Hence the assertion (1) is a consequence of Lemma 10.3.

We will show that $\mathcal{D}(V)=V$. By the condition (c), V is completely reducible as a Vir^i-module and so $L^i(0)$ acts on V semisimply. Moreover, the eigenvalues for $L^i(0)$ on V are nonnegative real numbers. It follows from Corollary 10.2 that $L^i(0)$ is semisimple on $\mathcal{D}(V)$ with nonnegative eigenvalues.

Now, we follow the proof of [7, Theorem 3.3]. Suppose $\mathcal{D}(V)\neq V$ and take $v=(v^n)_{n\geq 0}\in\mathcal{D}(V)$ not contained in V, where $v^n\in V_{(n)}$. Since $L^i(0)$ is semisimple on $\mathcal{D}(V)$, we write $v=\sum_{r=1}^{j_i}v^{(i,r)}$, where $v^{(i,r)}$ is an eigenvector for $L^i(0)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda^i_r\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\lambda^i_r\neq\lambda^i_s$ if $r\neq s$. Let λ^i be the maximum of λ^i_r, $1\leq r\leq j_i$. Denote $v^{(i,r)}$ by $v^{(i,r)}=(v^{(i,r)n})_{n\geq 0}$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}(V)$ with $v^{(i,r)n}\in V_{(n)}$. Then $v^n=\sum_{r=1}^{j_i}v^{(i,r)n}$. Since $\omega^i\in V_{(2)}$, the operator $L^i(0)=\omega^i_1$ is a weight 0 operator and it preserves $V_{(n)}$. Hence $L^i(0)v^{(i,r)n}=\lambda^i_rv^{(i,r)n}$. Moreover, $\langle L^i(0)u,w\rangle=\langle u,L^i(0)w\rangle$ for $u,w\in V$. Thus $\langle v^{(i,r)n},v^{(i,s)n}\rangle=0$ if $r\neq s$. Since $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ is positive definite, we have $\langle L^i(0)v^n,v^n\rangle\leq\lambda^i\langle v^n,v^n\rangle$. Recall that $L(0)v^n=nv^n$ and $L(0)=\sum_{i=1}^p a_iL^i(0)$. Hence $n\langle v^n,v^n\rangle\leq\sum_{i=1}^p a_i\lambda^i\langle v^n,v^n\rangle$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^p a_i\lambda^i$ is independent of n and $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ is positive definite, this inequality implies that $v^n\neq 0$ for only finitely many n. But then $v\in V$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}(V)=V$.

The assertion (1) implies that there is, up to scalar multiple, a unique nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on V [18]. Then the V-module (V,Y) is isomorphic to

the contragredient module (V', Y') [11, Remark 5.3.3]. In particular, $\mathcal{D}(V) = V$ implies $\mathcal{D}(V') = V'$. Then $(R_V)^* \subset V'$ by (10.1), since $D(V) = \mathcal{D}(V')$. Thus the assertion (2) holds. □

We use the notation of [6, 7] for general parafermion vertex operator algebras. Thus k is a positive integer, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of rank ℓ with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ is the vacuum Weyl module for the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with level k and $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ is the simple quotient of the vacuum Weyl module. The parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ is the commutant of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$ in $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$. Let ω_{aff} and $\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the conformal vectors of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ and $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$, respectively. Then the conformal vector of $K(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ is $\omega = \omega_{\mathrm{aff}} - \omega_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Let Δ be the root system, Δ_+ the set of positive roots, and $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ an invariant symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for a long root α. For $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $x_{\pm\alpha}$ and h_α be as in [7]. Then $[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$, $[h_\alpha, x_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2 x_{\pm\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \mathbb{C}x_\alpha + \mathbb{C}h_\alpha + \mathbb{C}x_{-\alpha}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ isomorphic to sl_2. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, set $k_\alpha = \frac{2}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} k$ and let ω_α and W^3_α be as in [7]. Then $k_\alpha \in \{k, 2k, 3k\}$. Let P_α be the vertex operator subalgebra of $K(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ generated by ω_α and W^3_α. By [6, Propositions 4.5, 4.6], P_α is isomorphic to the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, k_\alpha)$ associated with $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \cong sl_2$ and ω_α is its conformal vector.

We apply Theorem 10.4 to $V = K(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ and its vertex operator subalgebras $V^\alpha = P_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. Indeed, $K(sl_2, 1) = \mathbb{C}$ and for $2 \le k_\alpha \le 4$, $K(sl_2, k_\alpha)$ is isomorphic to a well-known rational and C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebra. In the case $k_\alpha \ge 5$, we know $K(sl_2, k_\alpha)$ is C_2-cofinite by Theorem 7.3. Thus P_α is C_2-cofinite for any positive integer k, and so the condition (a) of Theorem 10.4 is satisfied. Moreover, the condition (b) is satisfied, since

$$\omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \frac{k(k_\alpha + 2)}{k_\alpha (k + h^\vee)} \omega_\alpha,$$

where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$ [7, Section 2]. Finally, the condition (c) is satisfied by [7, Lemma 4.3]. Therefore, the following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 10.4.

Theorem 10.5. *The parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ is C_2-cofinite for any finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and any positive integer k.*

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Toshiyuki Abe, Toshiro Kuwabara, Takeshi Suzuki and Hiroshi Yamauchi for valuable discussions. Part of computation was done by a computer algebra system Risa/Asir. The authors are indebted to Kazuhiro Yokoyama for helpful advice concerning the system. Some part of the work was done while C. L. and H. Y. were staying at Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China, Beijing in July and August, 2000, T. A, C. L. and H. Y. were staying at National Center for Theoretical Sciences (South), Tainan in September, 2000, and T. A. and H. Y. were staying at Academia Sinica, Taipei in December, 2011. They are grateful to those institutes. Part of the results was announced at a conference in Varna, Bulgaria, June 2011. H. Y. thanks Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Science for kind hospitality.

T. A. was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 20340007 and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research No. 23654006. C. L. was partially supported by NSC grant 100-2628-M-001005-MY4 and National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taiwan. H. Y. was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 23540009.

References

[1] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, regularity and C_2-cofiniteness, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004). 3391–3402.
[2] T. Arakawa, Representation theory of W-algebras, *Invent. Math.* **169** (2007), 219–320.
[3] R. Blumenhagen, W. Eholzer, A. Honecker, K. Hornfeck and R. Hübel, Coset realization of unifying W-algebras, *Internat. J. Modern Phys.* **A10** (1995), 2367–2430.
[4] C. Dong, C.H. Lam, Q. Wang and H. Yamada, The structure of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), 371–381.
[5] C. Dong, C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, W-algebras related to parafermion algebras, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), 2366–2403.
[6] C. Dong and Q. Wang, The structure of parafermion vertex operator algebras: general case, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **299** (2010), 783–792.
[7] C. Dong and Q. Wang, On C_2-cofiniteness of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **328** (2011), 420–431.
[8] B. Feigin, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, Combinatorics of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ spaces of coinvariants, *Transform. Groups* **6** (2001), 25–52.
[9] E. Feigin, The PBW filtration, *Represent. Theory* **13** (2009), 165–181.
[10] E. Frenkel, V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Characters and fusion rules for W-algebras via quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), 295–328.
[11] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
[12] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
[13] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.
[14] M. R. Gaberdiel and T. Gannon, Zhu's algebra, the C_2 algebra, and twisted modules, in *Vertex operator algebras and related areas*, Contemp. Math. Vol. 497, 65–78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
[15] K. Hornfeck, W-algebras with set of primary fields of dimensions $(3, 4, 5)$ and $(3, 4, 5, 6)$, *Nucl. Phys.* **B407** (1993), 237–246.
[16] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[17] J. Lepowsky and H.-S Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math., Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
[18] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **96** (1994), 279–297.
[19] H. Li, Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), 495–514.
[20] A. Meurman and M. Primc, *Annihilating fields of standard modules of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ and combinatorial identities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **652** (1999).
[21] M. Miyamoto, Flatness of tensor products and semi-rigidity for C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebras I, arXiv:0906.1407.
[22] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237–302.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
E-mail address: arakawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 10617, Taiwan, R.O.C.
E-mail address: chlam@math.sinica.edu.tw

Department of Mathematics, Hitotsubashi University, Kunitachi, Tokyo 186-8601, Japan
E-mail address: yamada@econ.hit-u.ac.jp